# "The MAX has been a living nightmare for us" (over The Look of Light Rail 



Ugly Overhead Wires


Blocked Crosswalks divide neighborhoods


Blocked Streets divide neighborhoods


Oversized, out of place condo complexes


High Density Housing Causes Congestion


Most new residents still drive so congestion increases

See: DebunkingPortland.com/Transit/RailMenu.html

## More at: www.DebunkingPortland.com

## "The MAX has been a living nightmare for us"

After listening to testimonials of theft, vandalism, beatings and intimidation, [East Precinct's Sgt. Kim] Preston said there's little likelihood police will increase patrols in the area any time soon.
'The MAX has been a living nightmare for us,'" Preston said. 'I would not ride it at night -- and I'm armed all the time. There are massive fights, guns displayed, stabbings, people being threatened and bullied." (Oregonian, Thursday, September 20, 2007)

## Light rail kills people at $\mathbf{2} 1 \mathbf{2}$ times the rate of Cars

MAX Death Rate in Portland: $\mathbf{1 . 1 4}$ deaths per $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ million passenger-miles ( $19 / 16.66$ million miles)
Motor Vehicle Death Rate in Portland: $\mathbf{0 . 4 6}$ deaths per $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ million passenger-miles
See: www.DebunkingPortland.com/Transit/MAXSafetyChart.html

## Light Rail is Not Really Transportation It Is a Tool For High Density Development

Sam Adams: "I believe we should plan to accommodate our share of projected regional growth ... 300,000 more Portlanders ... within $1 / 4$ mile of all existing and to-be-planned streetcar and lightrail transit stops ... Because it will simultaneously encourage responsible, transit-supportive development. What would Portland look like .. it would look a lot like Portland circa 1920-a time when the main means of motion were your feet, streetcars and bikes." City Club Speech July 20, 2007

## Light Rail Causes Congestion

Light rail brings high density development along the rail line. The reality is that most of these new people still drive, so this huge number of new people cause large increases in traffic congestion.

Even light-rail advocates no longer claim that train service will reduce congestion. Portland is the national leader in building light rail and is also a national leader in traffic congestion. Trimet admits that MAX only carries a number of people equivalent to 1.2 lanes of freeway, while neglecting to adjust for the fact that most MAX riders would be in buses, not cars, if MAX wasn't built. Adjusting for these $2 / 3$ of MAX riders reveals that MAX only reduced traffic by about $1 / 3$ of one lane of freeway. But MAX costs about 5 times a much per mile as a lane of freeway, so it costs about 10-20 times as much as a road per passenger capacity.

## Light rail costs too much and does too little.

Had we spent the money on added road capacity, instead of MAX, Portland probably would not have a traffic congestion problem today. See: www.DebunkingPortland.com/Transit/RailAttractsDrivers2.htm

Light Rail Cost Per passenger-mile

| Rail | $\$ 1.11$ | LRT with construction |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Bus (sysm average) | $\$ 0.84$ | Calc. from Trimet data: Bus system cost / bus passenger- <br> miles No road maintenance or construction |
| Lowest cost BUS line in Port- <br> land: | $\$ 0.34$ | Trimet data for the lowest cost BUS line <br> No road maintenance or construction |
| Cost of Cars | $\$ 0.25$ | Includes everything. Based on Bureau of Economic Analysis <br> \& Federal Highway Administration's Highway Statistics |

The cost of cars includes everything, including depreciation, maintenance, insurance and taxes and fees which pay for almost all of the cost of roads. The cost of Buses DO NOT include the cost of road construction or maintenance. Portland transit costs are $80 \%$ paid by taxpayers and $20 \%$ paid by users.

See: www.DebunkingPortland.com/Transit/Cost-Cars-Transit(2005).htm

# False Claim: Mass transit saves money 

Cost of Trimet Transit vs Cars \& Taxis

\left.| Mode | COST/Pass.-Mi. | Source of Number and calculation outline - Click for |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| details |  |  |$\right]$

## Cost of Cars

| Car (actual cost using national data) | $\$ \mathbf{0 . 3 3 1}$ <br> (veh.-mi.) | Calc. by national spending / national vehicle-miles |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Car (actual cost using national data) | $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 2 0 2}$ | Calc. by national spending / national passenger-miles |
| Car (using national data - adjusted for <br> Portland) | $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 2 5 4}$ | Calc. by nat'l spend / nat'l pass-miles x 1.634/1.3 |
| Car (AAA estimate) | $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 5 2 2}$ <br> (veh.-mi.) | From AAA |
| Car (AAA derived) | $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 3 1 9}$ | AAA cost (above)/ pass per vehicle. See note below |

## Cost of Portland Streetcar

| Portland streetcar | $\mathbf{\$ 1 . 2 5}$ (per ride) | Calc. from PortlandStreetcar.org: total cost / total trips |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Portland streetcar | $\mathbf{\$ 1 . 6 7}$ | above $\$ 1.25 / 3 / 4$ mile average trip length per Charlie Hales |
| Cost of Taxi Fare in portland |  |  |
| Taxi fare - per mile portion only | $\mathbf{\$ 2 . 1 0}$ | Cost quote from local cab co. Additional cost is $\$ 2.50$ to |
| board |  |  |$|$| Cost quote from local cab co. Additional cost is \$1per extra |
| :--- |
| pass. |

## Notes:

The Trimet costs and Car (BEA) costs are based of REAL costs and REAL miles, unlike AAA which is theoretical.
Light rail has massive construction costs which are ignored in the above (and ignored by Trimet's busmaxstat.pdf) except as noted The cost of roads is mostly included in the cost of driving due to taxes and fees being included.

Line 69 is the sum of lines 70-77 and includes most (all?) driving related expenses.
National average passengers per vehicle is 1.59 , calculated by dividing national passenger-miles by national vehicle-miles

## Click for more details <br> PDF of this Page

## www.DebunkingPortland.com

# False Promises: Light Rail Reduces Congestion 

D oes Rail Reduce Congestion-1?
From the Oregonian october 29, 1998 (just after the Westside lineopened):
The debate about ridership on westside l ight rail and its effect on traffic continued Wednesday, with Tri-Met saying it has attracted 1,773 new bus and rail riders in the westside corridor

Tri-Met based its number on a count of buu riders between 6 and 9 a.m. on an average of five mornings in October 1997 compared with a similar count of bus and rail riders this month in the same corridor. The agencys transportation consultants counted 3,642 riders both directions in October 1997 and 5,415 this month.

Analysis: Thisis a real count, not a projectionand is from the transitagencyitself Ridershipwent from 3,642 to 5,415 an increaseof 1773. Of the 5.415 total transitusers, 3,642 ( $67 \%$ ) were previous transit users and 1773 (33\%) were not. Typicallylightraillineshave more riders in the firstmonthdue to the hoopla surroundingheiropeningand before some riders realizethat, for them the railis actuallyworse than the bus that it replaced, so thisnumberof new riders is probably an ABSOLUTEMAXIMUM

## Conclusions.

1. Trimetfoundthat, over a three hour period, 1773 people were removedfromthe freeway for a total of 591 people per hour.
2. A freewaylanehas a capacity of around 1800 cars per hour.
3. 591 people wouldoccupy 492 cars at 1.2 people per car.
4. 492 / $1800=0.27$, or about $1 / 4$ of one lane of freewaycapacity.
5. MAX removed ONE-QUARTER OF ONE LANE worthof trafficfrom the Sunset duringrush hour.

## Does Rail Reduce Congestion-2 ?

A TrimetFactSheet (year 2006, 8 years after the Westside lineopened) claimsthat:

- 'WestsideMAX provides the transportationcapacityequivalento another 1.2 lanesineach directionon the Sunset Hwy."


## Conclusior

1. $2 / 3$ of MAX riders wouldbe on a bus ifMAX had not been built(as shown above: "Of the 5.415 total transitusers, 3,642 (67\%) were previous transit users...")
2. ThereforeMAX carries a numberof people equal to $1 / 3$ of the numberof people on 1.2 lanesof the freeway. $1 / 3 \times 1.2$ $=40 \%$ The numberof cars removed is $40 \%$ of one lane/ 1.3 people per car $=31 \%$ of one laneof US-26
3. MAX only reduces trafficby $\mathbf{3 1 \%}$ of one lane of freeway, accordingto Trimet's owndata.

## Comment

1. Those 3 lanes of the Sunset, also carry trucks and buses alongwitha share of commutersequal to MAX.
2. 18 milesof MAX cost $\$ 963$ millionor $\$ 53.5$ millioper route mileof double track ( $\$ 26.75$ millioper track-mile).
3. Freeways typicallycost $\$ 5-10$ millioper lane-mile
4. The cost was $267 \%-535 \%$ that of a freewaylanefor removing $31 \%$ of one freewaylane of traffic- a cost of $\mathbf{9 5 0 \%}$ $\mathbf{1 9 0 0 \%}$ above that of a freeway per usefulness.

## Does Rail Reduce Congestion-3?

The PortlandVancouverI-5 Transportationand Trade Partnershipused $18 \%$ and $31 \%$ as the percentageof railriders that wouldbe incars if lightrailwasn't built See here for the methodused.

## Conclusion:

The above two methods produceanswers consistent withthe PortlandVancouverI-5 Transportationand Trade Partnershipand we can be fairly confident that Portlands MAX only removes less than $1 / 3$ of one lane worthof trafficfroma three lane freeway. LRT costs about 10-19 as muchas freways for the same capacity.

## Final Conclusion: LIGHT RAIL COSTS TOO MUCH AND DOES TOO LITTLE

## False Promises: Copying Europe Will Reduce Driving/Increase Transit Usage

Planners have noticed that Europeans drive less than Americans and then decided that we should do things like the Europeans do. However they failed to realize that Americans are richer than Europeans and that as Europeans incomes catch up, their driving would increase. The chart below is from the European Union and shows that driving and flying are increasing and transit usage ("Rail", "Bus and coach" and "Tram and Metro") is decreasing, just like in the USA:

$$
\text { From below: } \mathrm{Air}=+132 \% \quad \text { Passenger } \mathrm{Car}=+2.5 \% \quad \text { Rail }=-23 \% \quad \text { Bus\&Coach }=-27 \% \quad \text { Tram } \& \text { Metro }=-21.4 \%
$$

## Chart from the European Union Shows Transit Decrease and Automobile Increase:

Air transport has also increased rapidly over the last two decades, creating congestion at Europe's airports. To tackle this problem, the EU is working towards a unified European system of air traffic control (the 'Single European Sky').

To ease congestion on the roads, the EU is encouraging transport firms to get as much freight as possible onto trains, barges and ships. It is also backing local authorities in their efforts to promote and improve public transport, especially in Europe's crowded cities.



Source: European Commission.
Download full document from the EU Download same document from this web site

## How Density Causes Congestion

Most of us have seen this chart of how driving per capita decreases with increasing population density, but there is more to the story.

## Daily Trips vs. Population Density <br> 1990 NPTS \{Dumphy \& Fisher)



Note that driving only decreases slightly until density approaches that of New York City Do we want to be more like New York? Why don't we just move to New York? (Red text are our additions.)

## The Secret Missing Line

They don't tell you that increased population density means that there are more people in each square mile, and few use transit, so the number of car trips per square mile increases as the number of people increase. That is the secret they hid from you --- increased trips per square mile increases congestion - more trips in the same space as shown by the red line:
(Red line is Daily Trips/Person multiplied by People/Square Mile)


Original Chart with added information
More at: http://www.PortlandFacts.com

## What Does Sprawl Really Look Like?

Aren't You Glad That You Don't Have to Live in Sprawl Like This?



Most of the planner's dream homes are along the East Side light rail line, except the top picture which is infill in what was a nice N.E. neighborhood, the third row down brick giant is in the Pearl (Waterfront Urban Renewal District) and the fifth row down is a beautiful hurd of row houses in St. Johns.

All of the ugly sprawl pictures are from Southwest Porland, between the Sellwood bridge and Lake Oswego.
More at www.PortlandFacts.com

