DAVIS, HIBBITTS & MIDGHALL, INC. METRO PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH – ANNOTATED QUESTIONNAIRE

N=600 Full Sample (N=300 Sample A; N=300 Sample B)

January 6-10, 2006; age 18 plus RDD sample; tri-county (Metro service area) February 3, 2006

I. QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES IDENTIFICATION

(Full Sample) 1. What do you think will be the 3 most key issues facing the region in **10 years**? (OPEN/PROBE FOR SPECIFICS)

Education	33%
Traffic Congestion/Transportation	28%
Economy/Jobs	26%
Population Growth	25%
Environmental Quality	19%
Housing	12%
Healthcare	12%
Taxes	11%
Crime/Public Safety	8 ⁰ / ₀
Land Use	8%
Energy	6%
Gas	4 ⁰ / ₀
All Other Responses	3% or less
Other	10%

(Full Sample) 2. Of these issues, which one do you feel will be most important.

Education	-21%
Economy/Jobs	-12%
Population Growth	9%
Traffic Congestion/Transportation	8%
Environmental Quality	5%
Healthcare	4%
Taxes	4%
Crime/Public Safety	3%
Housing	3%
All Issues Important/Equal	2%
Drugs	2%
Gas	2%
Energy	2%
Land Use	2%
All Other Responses	1% or less
Other	3%

(Full Sample) 3. What is it that you enjoy <u>most</u> about the quality of life you have in the region? (OPEN/PROBE FOR ONE RESPONSE)

Environmental Quality12%	
Nature/Scenery11%	
Weather/Climate10%	
People/Sense Of Community/Neighborliness 9%	
Outdoor Recreation Opportunities 9%	
Small Town 4%	
Accessibility/Access 4%	
Sense Of Freedom/Independence 4%	
Lifestyle 3%	
Rural/Country 3%	
Family 3%	
All Other Responses 2% or less	
Other10%	

(Full Sample) 4. What is it that bothers you the <u>most</u> about the quality of life you have in the region? (OPEN/PROBE FOR ONE RESPONSE)

Traffic Congestion/Transportation	17%
Population Growth	13%
Rain/Weather	8%
Cost Of Living	7%
Crime/Public Safety	7%
Government/Politics	5%
Education/Schools	4%
Taxes	4%
Environmental Pollution	3%
Employment Opportunity/Jobs	2%
People/Attitudes	
Liberalism	2%
Drugs	2%
Healthcare	2%
All Other Responses	1% or less
Other	8%

II. POPULATION GROWTH

(Half Sample B) 5. Regardless of how you personally feel about it, do you think population growth in the region can be stopped?

1996

an se scopped.	.,,,
Yes10%	12%
No87%	
1NO	04/0
DK 3%	4%

(Half Sample B) 6. (IF NO OR DK TO Q5) What about slowing it down? 1996

Yes37%	40%
No56%	
DK6%	6%

(Half Sample	B) 7. Do you think we can have a health economy with	out population	~
	Yes	70/	<u>1996</u> 67%
	No		26%
	DK	5%	8%
	NING: GENERAL SUPPORT, AWARENESS AND NING ISSUES) KNOWLE	EDGE LEVELS,
(Half Sample planning for t	Unaided (No Population Numbers Provided Respo A) 8. Generally, how supportive are you of your local a he population growth projected for the region: very opportive, or very supportive?	nd regional g	
	Very supportive	17%	
	Somewhat supportive	44%	
	Somewhat opposed		
	Very opposed		
	DK		
	A) 9. To the best of your knowledge, what does such " (OPEN/PROBE FOR SPECIFICS)	planning" en	tail—what kinds
	Growth Management/Land Use Planning-General	23%	
	Transportation		
	Housing		
	Regulations/Zoning/UGB		
	Protecting Environment		
	Education/Schools		
	Economy/Jobs		
	Infrastructure		
	Community Involvement		
	Public Services-General		
	No/Poor Planning		
			1
	All Other Responses		less
	Other	6%	
` -	A) 10. (IF SOMEWHAT OR VERY SUPPORTIVE Tonal government planning for population growth? (OPE	- /	• • •
	It's Important	31%	
	Manage Population Growth/Land Use		
	Government Already Doing It		
	For The Economy/Jobs		
	Improved Transportation		
	Environmental Concerns		
	Crime/Safety		
	Quality of Life		
	Better Schools/Education		
	Other		
		0 / 0	

(Half Sample A) 11. (IF MORE THAN ONE REASON TO Q10) What is the biggest reason you support planning for population growth? (SPECIFY ONE REASON)

It's Important 32%	0
Manage Population Growth/Land Use23%	, O
Government Already Doing It11%	, O
For The Economy/Jobs 8%	Ó
Quality of Life 3%	Ó
Improved Transportation2%	, O
Environmental Concerns 1%	Ó
Better Schools/Education 1%	0
Other 6%	ó

(Half Sample A) 12. (IF SOMEWHAT OR VERY OPPOSED TO Q8) Why do you oppose local and regional government planning for population growth? (OPEN/PROBE FOR SPECIFICS)

Need Better Planning31%	
Area Large Enough For Development/Growth—Not Needed 15%	
Hurt Economy13%	
No Space For More Development/Growth9%	
Transportation Problems/Issues 8%	
Don't Really Oppose 8%	
Not Enough Planning 6%	
Taxes 5%	
Environmental Impact/Concerns 5%	
Education/Schools4%	
Not Beneficial 4%	
Simply Opposed-General 3%	
Other 8%	

(Half Sample A) 13. (IF MORE THAN ONE REASON TO Q12) What is the biggest reason you oppose planning for population growth? (SPECIFY ONE REASON)

Need Better Planning28	8%
Area Large Enough For Development/Growth—Not Needed 13	3%
No Space For More Development/Growth	6%
Hurt Economy	6%
Don't Really Oppose	6%
Environmental Impact/Concerns	6%
Traffic Congestion	4%
Not Enough Planning	4%
Not Beneficial	4%
Simply Opposed-General	3%
Education/Schools	1%
Other10	0%

Version B – Aided (Population Numbers Provided Respondents)

(Half Sample B) New forecasts predict that the population will be growing twice as fast as we thought in 1995. This equates to a million more people living in the region in the next 25 years.

(Half Sample B) 14. Generally, how supportive are you of your local and regional governments planning for the population growth projected for the region: very opposed, somewhat opposed, somewhat supportive, or very supportive?

Very supportive	14%
Somewhat supportive	37%
Somewhat opposed	20%
Very opposed	15%
DK	14%

(Half Sample B) 15. To the best of your knowledge, what does such "planning" entail—what kinds of activities? (OPEN/PROBE FOR SPECIFICS)

Transportation	23%
Growth Management/Land Use Planning-General	19%
Housing	18%
Regulations/Zoning/UGB	14%
Education/Schools	10%
Infrastructure	8%
Business Environment	6%
Protecting Environment	4 ⁰ / ₀
Economy/Jobs	4%
Public Services-General	3%
Taxes	3%
All Other Responses	2% or less
Other	9%

(Half Sample B) 16. (IF SOMEWHAT OR VERY SUPPORTIVE TO Q14) Why do you support local and regional government planning for population growth? (OPEN/PROBE FOR SPECIFICS)

Government Is Already Doing It	26%
It's Important	23%
Manage Population Growth/Land Use	23%
For The Economy/Jobs	5%
Environmental Concerns	3%
Better Schools/Education	2%
Quality of Life	2%
Taxes	2%
No Choice	2%
Improved Transportation	1%
Better Government Services	1%
Other	10%

(Half Sample B) 17. (IF MORE THAN ONE REASON TO Q16) What is the biggest reason you support planning for population growth? (SPECIFY ONE REASON)

It's Important26%
Government Is Already Doing It22%
Manage Population Growth/Land Use20%
For The Economy/Jobs 4%
No Choice 2%
Improved Transportation1%
Environmental Concerns 1%
Quality of Life 1%
Better Government Services1%
Other 9%

(Half Sample B) 18. (IF SOMEWHAT OR VERY OPPOSED TO Q14) Why do you oppose local and regional government planning for population growth? (OPEN/PROBE FOR SPECIFICS)

Need Better Planning34%
Not Beneficial20%
Not Enough Planning14%
Don't Really Oppose 9%
Hurt Economy7%
No Space For More Development/Growth 5%
Simply Opposed-General 5%
Area Large Enough For Development/Growth-Not Needed4%
Transportation Problems/Issues 4%
Taxes 2%
Environmental Impact/Concerns 2%
Other10%

(Half Sample B) 19. (IF MORE THAN ONE REASON TO Q18) What is the biggest reason you oppose planning for population growth? (SPECIFY ONE REASON)

Need Better Planning30%
Not Beneficial17%
Not Enough Planning12%
Don't Really Oppose 5%
Hurt Economy 4%
Simply Opposed-General 4%
Area Large Enough For Development/Growth-Not Needed2%
No Space For More Development/Growth 2%
Education/Schools2%
Transportation Problems/Issues 1%
Other11%

IV. PLANNING GOALS - PRIORITIZATION

(Half Sample A) I'm going to read you a list of broadly worded planning goals. Over the next ten years, your local and regional governments will be facing many difficult issues related to the population growth projected for the area. With limited resources, they won't be able to do everything and must establish priorities. Knowing that financial resources are limited, what

priority should local and regional governments give to each goal: low priority, medium priority, high priority, or urgent priority. Try not to say urgent for all the goals. Be selective in your rating. Also, remember that we're talking about goals over the next ten years. You may have other goals in mind, but please limit your answers for now to just the goals I'm going to read you.

(ROTATE)	Urgent Prior	<u>High</u> <u>Prior</u>	Med Prior	<u>Low</u> <u>Prior</u>	<u>DK</u>
20. Protecting area rivers and streams	19%	- 59%	- 16% -	4%	1%
21. Preserving farm and forestland	17%	- 54%	- 24% -	3%	1%
22. Building new roads and highways	11%	35%	- 31% -	- 22%	2%
23. Acquiring open space for recreation and enjoyment	5%	- 35%	-40% -	- 17%	3%
24. Opening up farm and forestland for new and expanding businesses	1%	9%	-21% -	- 66%	3%
25. Nurturing citizen commitment to community and civic involvement	5%	- 39%	- 44% -	9%	4%
26. Protecting air quality	16%	- 58%	-21% -	4%	1%
27. Building light rail extensions	5%	33%	- 29% -	- 31%	2%
28. Protecting existing neighborhoods	8%	- 56%	- 26% -	6%	4%
29. Revitalizing town centers	3%	- 20%	-45% -	- 28%	4%
(Half Sample A) 30. Which of these goals is most important	.5				
Protecting area rivers and streams Preserving farm and forestland Building new roads and highways Protecting air quality Protecting existing neighborhoods Building light rail extensions Nurturing citizen commitment to community/civic Acquiring open space for recreation and enjoyment Opening up farm and forestland for new/expandin Revitalizing town centers	involvent	18% 16% 10% 7% nent - 6% 3% -s 3%			
(Half Sample A) 31. Second most important?					
Protecting area rivers and streams Preserving farm and forestland Protecting air quality Protecting existing neighborhoods Building new roads and highways Nurturing citizen commitment to community/civic Building light rail extensions Acquiring open space for recreation and enjoyment Opening up farm and forestland for new/expandin Revitalizing town centers	t	19% 14% 6% 4% 4% 3% 2%			
DK					

(Half Sample resources in the	B) 32. Is major change needed in how we're using the	land and othe	er natural
resources in u	Yes	500/	
	No		
	DK		
	DK	11/0	
(Half Sample SPECIFICS)	B) 33. (IF YES TO Q32) What kind of change? (OPE	EN/PROBE	FOR
	More Protection For Environment	21%	
	Better Development		
	Zoning/Regulation/Policy Issues		
	Protect Forest/Farmland		
	Building Issues		
	Relax/Expand Urban Growth Boundary (UGB)		
	Better Planning		
	Control Growth		
	Economic/Financial Issues		
	Energy Issues		
	Political/Government Influence Issues		
	Community Involvement		
	Transportation/Transit Issues		
	Education/Schools		
	Keep Urban Growth Boundary (UGB)		
	Other		
_	Y OF LIFE FACTORS FOR NEW RESIDENTS 34. How long have you lived in the region? (Record in Less than 10 years	23% 22%	Mean=27.0
	•	33 /0	
` ' '	1996: 31% 10 years or less; 69% 11 years or more 35. (IF LESS THAN 10 YEARS TO Q34) Why did yobbe FOR SPECIFICS) Employment/Job	40%	e?
	Friends/Family		
	Wanted Change		
	Quality of Life/Good Community		
	Personal Reasons		
	Not Crowded		
	Beautiful/Pretty		
	Environment		
	Education		
	Weather/Climate		
	Outdoor Recreational Opportunities	2%	
	Medical Reasons		
	Less Crime/Safety	1%	

Mountains/Oceans/Desert	1%
Other	4%

VI. TRENDS – PLANNING GOALS AND QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES

(Half Sample A) I am now going to read to you a series of statements, each one being a possible trend into the future for the region. For each trend, please rate FIRST the probability you think that the trend will actually happen over the next 10 years (not at all likely, not very likely, somewhat likely, or very likely), and SECOND, the desirability you <u>personally</u> place on that trend happening (not at all desirable, not very desirable, somewhat desirable, or very desirable). Remember that we're talking about the region and over the next 10 ten years.

36. The population will grow considerably:

Very	Smwt	Not Very	Not At All		
<u>Likely</u>	<u>Likely</u>	<u>Likely</u>	<u>Likely</u>	<u>DK</u>	
69%	24%	3%	2%	2%	1996 95% Likely (93% 2006)
Very	Smwt	Not Very	Not At All		,
<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>DK</u>	
8%	24%	34%	30%	5%	1996 24% Desirable (32% 2006)

37. Environmental protection will become more important than economic growth:

Very <u>Likely</u>	Smwt <u>Likely</u>	Not Very <u>Likely</u>	Not At All <u>Likely</u>	<u>DK</u>
17%	29%	33%	15%	6%
Very	Smwt	Not Very	Not At All	
<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>DK</u>
43%	24%	12%	15%	6%

38. Public transit, biking, and walking will more and more replace the automobile:

Very	Smwt	Not Very	Not At All				
<u>Likely</u>	<u>Likely</u>	<u>Likely</u>	<u>Likely</u>	<u>DK</u>			
16%	27%	29%	24%	3%	1996	49% Likely (43% 2006)	
Very	Smwt	Not Very	Not At All			,	
<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	$\overline{\text{DK}}$			
40%	24%	15%	17%	4%	1996	64% Desirable (64% 2006)

39. Growth will be concentrated in existing cities and rural areas will be left undeveloped:

Very	Smwt	Not Very	Not At All	
<u>Likely</u>	<u>Likely</u>	<u>Likely</u>	<u>Likely</u>	<u>DK</u>
12%	19%	35%	28%	5%
Very	Smwt	Not Very	Not At All	
<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>DK</u>
25%	26%	21%	22%	7%

40.	Congestion	on the re	gion's	highways	will cost	the re	gion new	iobs:
	Congestion	OII tile It	510110		WIII COO	care re	51011 110 11	1000.

Very <u>Likely</u>	Smwt <u>Likely</u>	Not Very <u>Likely</u>	Not At All <u>Likely</u>	<u>DK</u>
29%	29%	25%	11%	7%
Very	Smwt	Not Very	Not At All	
<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>DK</u>
11%	14%	29%	39%	8%

41. The area will have a greater variety of businesses and industries:

Very	Smwt	Not Very	Not At All	
<u>Likely</u>	<u>Likely</u>	<u>Likely</u>	<u>Likely</u>	<u>DK</u>
35%	40%	17%	5%	3%
Very	Smwt	Not Very	Not At All	
<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>DK</u>
45%	28%	12%	12%	3%

42. The gap between rich and poor in the area will narrow:

Very	Smwt	Not Very	Not At All	DIZ
<u>Likely</u>	<u>Likely</u>	<u>Likely</u>	<u>Likely</u>	<u>DK</u>
10% Verv	15% Smwt	34% Not Verv	37% Not At All	3%
<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>DK</u>
40%	18%	14%	23%	4%

43. There will be more family-wage jobs:

Very <u>Likely</u>	Smwt <u>Likely</u>	Not Very <u>Likely</u>	Not At All <u>Likely</u>	<u>DK</u>
13%	37%	24%	13%	13%
)	Smwt	Not Very	Not At All	
<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>DK</u>
50%	17%	7%	13%	13%

44. The value of my house will continue to grow (NA For Those Who Do Not Own Home):

Very	Smwt	Not Very	Not At All	
<u>Likely</u>	<u>Likely</u>	<u>Likely</u>	<u>Likely</u>	<u>DK</u>
59%	30%	4%	5%	2%
Very	Smwt	Not Very	Not At All	
<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>DK</u>
57%	27%	7%	6%	4%

45. There will be less congestion on the region's highways:

Very	Smwt	Not Very	Not At All	
<u>Likely</u>	<u>Likely</u>	<u>Likely</u>	<u>Likely</u>	<u>DK</u>
11%	5%	28%	53%	3%
Very	Smwt	Not Very	Not At All	
<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>DK</u>
46%	15%	15%	19%	5 ⁰ / ₀

46. Laws will favor protection of individual property rights over broader community interests: Verv Smwt Not Verv Not At All Likely Likely Likely Likely DK13%------14%------10% Smwt Not Very Not At All Very **Desirable** <u>Desirable</u> **Desirable Desirable** DK30%------19% ------19% ------19% ------10% 47. The cost of transportation to work, shopping, school, etc. will be a bigger part of household budgets: Very Not Very Not At All Smwt **Likely** Likely Likely <u>Likely</u> DK 62%------ 24% ------ 4% Verv Smwt Not Very Not At All <u>Desirable</u> **Desirable Desirable** <u>DK</u> **Desirable** 48. People will drive longer distances to their jobs: Very Smwt Not Very Not At All Likely Likely Likely Likely DK 50%------ 27% ------ 16% ------ 5%------- 3% Not Very Not At All Smwt **Desirable** Desirable Desirable <u>Desirable</u> <u>DK</u> 7%------ 14% ------ 35% ------ 39% ------ 6% 49. More people will be living in my neighborhood: Very Not Very Smwt Not At All Likely Likely <u>DK</u> <u>Likely</u> <u>Likely</u> 48%------ 20% ------ 21% ----- 7% ------ 3% Not Verv Very Smwt Not At All <u>Desirable</u> **Desirable Desirable Desirable** <u>DK</u> 14%------ 27% ------ 24% ------ 29% ------ 5%

50. Schools will be less important reason for people choosing a place to live:

Very <u>Likely</u>	Smwt <u>Likely</u>	Not Very <u>Likely</u>	Not At All <u>Likely</u>	<u>DK</u>
18%	14%	34%	28%	6%
Very	Smwt	Not Very	Not At All	
<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>Desirable</u>	<u>DK</u>
27%	18%	21%	23%	10%

VII. TRADEOFFS – PLANNING GOALS AND QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES

(Half Sample A) I'm now going to read to you some statements about the region. For each one, tell me which statement comes closest to your feelings. Some of the choices will not be easy but give them your best try. Let's start with _____. Follow each question with: Is that <u>only somewhat</u> or <u>a lot</u> closer to your feelings. (ROTATE)

(Half Sample A) 51. Some people feel we should invest in more roads for cars. Other people think that public transit represents a better investment. Which way do you feel?

Roads—a lot closer	23%
Roads—somewhat closer	12%
Public transit—somewhat closer	20%
Public transit—a lot closer	40%
DK	- 6%

(Half Sample B) 52. Some people say that new development to accommodate population growth should only come through making farm and forestland available for houses and businesses. Others say that new houses and businesses should only be located in areas with existing development and that farm and forestland should be preserved. Which way do you feel?

Make farm and forestland available for new development—a lot closer	14%
Make farm and forestland available for new development—somewhat closer	13%
Development should be on existing development—somewhat closer	22%
Development should be on existing development—a lot closer	43%
DK	7%

(Half Sample A) 53. Some people say to accommodate population growth it is better to add houses in existing or established neighborhoods. Others say it is better to convert farm and forestland to accommodate population growth. Which way do you feel?

Add houses in existing neighborhoods—a lot closer50%
Add houses in existing neighborhoods—somewhat closer21%
Convert farm and forestlands for growth—somewhat closer11%
Convert farm and forestlands for growth—a lot closer 5%
DK12%

(Half Sample B) 54. Some people say that the government should subsidize and help pay for economic development and job creation (property tax breaks, system development improvements). Others say that private businesses should pay their way and not be treated differently than individual taxpayers and other businesses that don't get the breaks. Which way do you feel?

	150/
Govt. should subsidize economic development—a lot closer	15%
Govt. should subsidize economic development—somewhat closer	21%
Businesses should pay for economic development—somewhat closer	25%
Businesses should pay for economic development—a lot closer	29%
DK	9%

(Half Sample A) 55. Some people say land developers should pay to bring public services like water, sewer, and roads to their property. Others say those costs are the price that taxpayers should pay for a growing economy. Which way do you feel?

Developers should pay—a lot closer65°	%
Developers should pay—somewhat closer196	%
Taxpayers should pay—somewhat closer 90	%
Taxpayers should pay—a lot closer 4 ^o	%
DK4	0/0

(Half Sample B) 56. Some people say land developers should pay to bring public services like water, sewer, and roads to their property. Others say that those costs are the price that taxpayers should pay for creating jobs? Which way do you feel?

Developers should pay—a lot closer5	7%
Developers should pay—somewhat closer2	5%
Taxpayers should pay—somewhat closer	8%
Taxpayers should pay—a lot closer	6%
DK	5%

(Half Sample A) 57. Some people say that some farm and forestland should be used to accommodate new high tech businesses and other "new" urban economic business expansion. Others say that farm and forest and should be preserved because of the contribution they make to our economy. Which way do you feel?

VIII. COST OF HOUSING AND UGB

(Half Sample A) 58. What are the reasons for the rising housing costs in many parts of the United States? (OPEN)

Supply And Demand-General14%
Population Growth13%
Economy-General11%
Greed9%
Less Land Available 7%
Supply/Lack Of Homes 6%
Cost Of Building/Materials 6%
Interest Rates 5%
More Affordable/Less Cost Than Elsewhere 5%
Inflation 5%
Speculation/Flipping Houses 5%
Desirability Of Location 4%
Cost Of Labor 3%
Increasing Land Value 3%
All Other Responses 2% or less, including specific mention of UGB
Other 3%

(Half Sample A) 59. (IF MORE THAN ONE REASON TO Q58) What is the biggest reason? (SPECIFY ONE REASON)

Population Growth11%	
Supply And Demand-General10%	
Greed10%	
Economy-General9%	
Less Land Available 6%	

	Supply/Lack Of Homes	4 ⁰ / ₀
	Interest Rates	4%
	Population Density	3 ⁰ / ₀
	Inflation	3 ⁰ / ₀
	More Affordable/Less Cost Than Elsewhere	3%
	Speculation/Flipping Houses	3%
	Desirability Of Location	
	Increasing Land Value	
	Price Of Gasoline/Energy	
	Land Use Management/Zoning/Regulation/Gov	
	All Other Responses1%	
	Other	
(Half Sam	ple B) 60. What are the reasons for the rising housing	costs in the region? (OPEN)
	Population Growth	33%
	Supply And Demand-General	
	Desirability Of Location	
	More Affordable/Less Cost Than Elsewhere	
	Economy-General	
	Supply/Lack of Homes	
	Speculation/Flipping Houses	
	Population Density	
	Greed	
	Interest Rate	
	Less Land Available	
	All other responses2% of	
	Other	
	Office	1070
(Half Sam	aple B) 61 (IF MORE THAN ONE REASON TO Q	60) What is the biggest reason?
•	Y ONE REASON)	, 30
	Population Growth	25%
	Supply And Demand-General	
	Supply/Lack of Homes	
	Desirability Of Location	
	Economy-General	
	Population Density	
	Greed	
	More Affordable/Less Cost Than Elsewhere	
	Speculation/Flipping Houses	
	Inflation	
	Interest Rates	
	All Other Responses1%	
	Other	
	Outci	1 1 / 0

(Half Sample B) How big a reason is each of the following for the rising housing costs in the region: not a reason at all, not a big reason, a big reason, or a very big reason? (ROTATE)

(ROTATE)	<u>Very Big</u> <u>Reason</u> R	_	_	No Reason DK
62. Rising interest rates	8% 29	9%	-42%	18% 3%
63. Cost of labor	7% 3 ²	4%	-46%	8% 5%
64. Cost of construction material	10% 44	4%	- 35%	7% 4%
65. Urban Growth Boundary	13% 41	1%	-28%	7% 10%
66. Inflation	14% 47	1%	-32%	9% 5%
67. Speculation by investors	18% 44	4%	-21%	6% 11%
68. Not using farm and forestland for development	6% 31	1%	-37%	19% 6%

IX. PLANNING ISSUES - AGREE-DISAGREE STATEMENTS

(Half Sample B) I'm now going to read to you a list of 14 statements about land use planning in the region. For each one, please indicate if you disagree strongly, disagree somewhat, agree somewhat, or agree strongly. (Rotate)

or agree strongly. (Rotate)					
	Agree Strong	Agree Smwt	Disag <u>Smwt</u>	Disag Strong	<u>DK</u>
69. Having an Urban Growth Boundary (UGB) makes	250/	220/.	100/.	1 /10/.	100/.
housing costs go up	23/0	JJ /0	10/0	14/0	10/0
1996 58% agree (vs. 58% in 2006)					
70. Well planned communities are valuable to bringing jobs to the area	43%	39%	10%	5%	- 3%
71. Land use regulations hurt too many private property owners	22%	24%	25%	19%	10%
72. Maintaining the area's quality of life will bring jobs to the area	44%	39%	8%	4%	- 4%
73. The number of new residents in the next 25 years will primarily be people moving to the area from elsewhere	57%	32%	5%	2º/o	- 4%
74. Land use regulations should be relaxed to make it easier for companies to do business	11%	22%	25%	34%	- 8%
75. Increasing funding for transportation system improvements will bring jobs to the area	28%	41%	17%	10%	- 3%
76. Land use regulations are an essential tool in protecting our area's quality of life	49%	34%	8%	4%	- 4%
77. Traffic congestion is caused by urban sprawl	45%	24%	16%	9%	- 6%
78. Land use planning helps protect the value of my home	31%	45%	10%	8%	- 5%
79. Land use planning results in people living too close together	24%	26%	22%	20%	- 8%

		Agree Strong	Agree Smwt	Disag Smwt	Disag Strong DK
	e cost of housing is primarily influenced by factors ner than the Urban Growth Boundary				
per pay	rer the next 10 years, having one more housing unit block in my neighborhood is a reasonable price to for less urban sprawl	25%	- 33%	18% -	18% 6%
	oving the Urban Growth Boundary outward would t reduce the cost of housing	22%	- 36%	21% -	- 14% 6%
199	96 53% Agree (vs. 58% in 2006)				
X. DE	EMOGRAPHICS AND RECRUITING FOR PO	SSIBLE 1	FOCUS	GROU	PS
83.	Are you active in any community organizations or so or commissions?	erve on an	y public	committ	ees, boards,
	Yes		17 ⁰	2/0	
	No			-	
	Don't know/ Refused				
84.	What is your zip code?				- ——
85.	Would you describe where you live as urban, suburt rural?	oan, rural o	changing		ban, or 996
	Urban		32°	/ ₀	29%
	Suburban		50°		41%
	Rural changing to suburban		6°	V ₀	14%
	Rural				15%
	Other (volunteered, Do Not Ask)				
	Refused		19	/ /o	
86.	Do you live in a single-family house, a mobile home dwelling unit?	, or a struc	cture wit	h more ti	han one
	Single family house		77°	/ 0	
	Mobile home		2°	1 / ₀	
	Structure with more than one dwelling				
	Other (volunteered)				
	Refused		1°	/ /0	
87.	Do you own or rent your home?				
	Own				
	Rent				
	Other				
	Refused		19	/ /0	

88.	How many people, including yourself are in your housel	nold?	
	1	17%	
	2	33%	
	3		
	4		Mean=2.9
	5		1,10411 2.7
	6	= :	
	7		
	Refused		
89.	Is your age between:		
	18-24	5%	
	25-34		
	35-44		
	45-54		
	55-64		
	65-74		
	75+		
	Refused		
	refused	1 / 0	
90.	What is your race or ethnic background?		
	Caucasian/White		
	Minority		
	Refused	4 ⁰ / ₀	
91.	Are you registered to vote?		
	Yes	89%	Go to #92
	No	10%	Go to #93
	Refused	1%	Go to #93
•	(IF YES TO 91) In November, 2004, Ron Wyden was you vote in that election, did things come up which kept yo to vote?		
	Voted	87%	
	Things came up		
	Chose not to vote		
	Did not reside in Oregon at the time		
	Refused		
93. libera	When it comes to most political issues, do you consider al, middle of the road-moderate, somewhat conservative, or		
	Very liberal	14%	
	Somewhat liberal		
	Middle-of-the-road/Moderate		
	Somewhat conservative		
	Very conservative		
	Refused		

94.	old income before taxes for 2005 between:	
	\$0 and 30,000	16%
	\$30-50,000	
	\$50-75,000	
	\$75-100,000	
	\$100,000 or more	
	Refused	
95.	(DO NOT ASK) Gender.	
	Male	48 ⁰ / ₀
	Female	
	CORD FROM SAMPLE]	
96. C	ounty	
	Multnomah	42%
	Washington	30%
	Clackamas	28%
Focus	s Group Recruiting – For possible follow-	up qualitative research
future and re		on growth and our area's quality of life in the small group discussion later this year about these rposes, and you would receive a cash honorarium

(IF YES) Collect name, address, and telephone number.

THANK YOU FOR SHARING YOUR TIME AND OPINIONS