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| SAFETY IMPACT OF CONVERSION FROM TWO-WAY TO ONE-WAY STREET DIRECTION (PEDESTRIANS) IN OREGON CITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME PERIOD | OREGON CITY | TRAFFIC VOLUMES | ACCIDENTS |  | ACCIDENT RATE |  |
|  |  |  | PED | ALL | PED | ALL. |
| BEFORE |  | 9,515 | 21 | 283 | 0.0221 | 0.2974 |
| AFTER | Astoria | 9,760 | 17 | 262 | 0.0174 | 0.2684 |
| CHANGE |  | 245 | -4 | -21 | -21.08\% | -9.74\% |
| BEFORE |  | 9,980 | 13 | 409 | 0.0130 | 0.4098 |
| AFTER | Coos Bay | 15,960 | 7 | 297 | 0.0044 | 0.1861 |
| CHANGE |  | 5980 | -6 | -112 | -66.33\% | -54.59\% |
| BEFORE |  | NA | 11 | 530 | NA | NA |
| AFTER | Corvalis | NA | 12 | 395 | NA | NA |
| CHANGE |  | NA | 1 | -135 | NA | NA |
| BEFORE |  | 8,200 | 10 | 408 | 0.0122 | 0.4976 |
| AFTER | Eugene | 6,040 | 10 | 153 | 0.0166 | 0.2533 |
| CHANGE |  | -2160 | 0 | -255 | 35.76\% | -49.09\% |
| BEFORE |  | 6,440 | 6 | 226 | 0.0093 | 0.3509 |
| AFTER | Lebanon | 8,630 | 6 | 266 | 0.0070 | 0.3082 |
| CHANGE |  | 2190 | 0 | 40 | -25.38\% | -12.17\% |
| BEFORE |  | 11,680 | 1 | 163 | 0.0009 | 0.1396 |
| AFTER | Medtord | 11,090 | 3 | 84 | 0.0027 | 0.0757 |
| CHANGE |  | -590 | 2 | -79 | 215.96\% | -45.72\% |
| BEFORE |  | 6,430 | 14 | 385 | 0.0218 | 0.5988 |
| AFTER | Pendleton | 7,555 | 16 | 490 | 0.0212 | 0.6486 |
| CHANGE |  | 1125 | 2 | 105 | -2.73\% | 8.32\% |
| BEFORE |  | 4,120 | 5 | 155 | 0.0121 | 0.3762 |
| AFTER | Redmond | 7,235 | 2 | 164 | 0.0028 | 0.2267 |
| CHANGE |  | 3115 | -3 | 9 | .77.22\% | -39.75\% |
| BEFORE |  | 19,600 | 27 | 1005 | 0.0138 | 0.5128 |
| AFTER | Salem | 20,500 | 27 | 1000 | 0.0132 | 0.4878 |
| CHANGF |  | 900 | 0 | -5 | -4.39\% | -4.87\% |
| BEFORE |  | 14,530 | 22 | 602 | 0.0151 | 0.4143 |
| AFTER | Springfield | 16,800 | 5 | 431 | 0.0030 | 0.2565 |
| CHANGE |  | 2270 | -17 | -171 | -80.34\% | -38.08\% |
| BEFORE |  | 8,780 | 18 | 380 | 0.0205 | 0.4328 |
| AFTER | The Dalles | 17,295 | 10 | 522 | 0.0058 | 0.3018 |
| CHANGE |  | 8515 | -8 | 142 | -71.80\% | -30.26\% |
| BEFORE |  | 5,835 | 8 | 209 | 0.0137 | 0.3582 |
| AFTER | Tillamook | 6,875 | 7 | 231 | 0.0102 | 0.3360 |
| CHANGE |  | 1040 | -1 | 22 | -25.74\% | -6.19\% |
| BEFORE | TOTAL, | 105,110 | 145 | 4,225 | 0.0138 | 0.4020 |
| AFTER | ALL | 127,740 | 110 | 3,900 | 0.0086 | 0.3053 |
| CHANGE | CITIES | 22630 | -35 | -325 | -37.58\% | -24.05\% |

SOURCE: Oregon State Highway Department, Technical Report \#b 59-4, April 1959, "A Study of One-Way Street Routings on Urban Highways in Oregon", Salem, OR.

| SAFETY IMPACT OF CONVERSION FROM TWO-WAY TO ONE-WAY STREET DIRECTION (INJURIES) IN OREGON CITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | OREGON | TRAFFIC | ACCIDENTS |  | ACCIDENT RATE |  |
| PERIOD | CITY | VOLUMES | INJ | ALL | inJ | ALL |
| BEFORE |  | 9,515 | 28 | 283 | 0.0294 | 0.2974 |
| AFTER | Astoria | 9,760 | 34 | 262 | 0.0348 | 0.2684 |
| CHANGE |  | 245 | 6 | -21 | 18.38\% | -9.74\% |
| BEFORE |  | 9,980 | 27 | 409 | 0.0271 | 0.4098 |
| AFTER | Coos Bay | 15,960 | 23 | 297 | 0.0144 | 0.1861 |
| CHANGE |  | 5980 | -4 | -112 | -46.73\% | -54.59\% |
| BEFORE |  | NA | 42 | 530 | NA | NA |
| AFTER | Corvalis | NA | 51 | 395 | NA | NA |
| CHANGE |  | NA | 9 | -135 | NA | NA |
| BEFORE |  | 8,200 | 34 | 408 | 0.0415 | 0.4976 |
| AFTER | Eugene | 6,040 | 19 | 153 | 0.0315 | 0.2533 |
| CHANGE |  | -2160 | . 15 | -255 | -24.13\% | -49.09\% |
| BEFORE |  | 6,440 | 15 | 226 | 0.0233 | 0.3509 |
| AFTER | Lebanon | 8,630 | 20 | 266 | 0.0232 | 0.3082 |
| CHANGE |  | 2190 | 5 | 40 | -0.50\% | -12.17\% |
| BEFORE |  | 11,680 | 16 | 163 | 0.0137 | 0.1396 |
| AFTER | Medford | 11,090 | 5 | 84 | 0.0045 | 0.0757 |
| CHANGE |  | . 590 | -11 | -79 | -67.09\% | -45.72\% |
| BEFORE |  | 6,430 | 29 | 385 | 0.0451 | 0.5988 |
| AFTER | Pendleton | 7,555 | 36 | 490 | 0.0477 | 0.6486 |
| CHANGE |  | 1125 | 7 | 105 | 5.65\% | 8.32\% |
| BEFORE |  | 4,120 | 11 | 155 | 0.0267 | 0.3762 |
| AFTER | Redmond | 7,235 | 15 | 164 | 0.0207 | 0.2267 |
| CHANGE |  | 3115 | 4 | 9 | -22.35\% | -39.75\% |
| BEFFORE |  | 19,600 | 102 | 1005 | 0.0520 | 0.5128 |
| AFTER | Salem | 20,500 | 76 | 1000 | 0.0371 | 0.4878 |
| CHANGE |  | 900 | -26 | -5 | -28.76\% | -4.87\% |
| BEFORE |  | 14.530 | 73 | 602 | 0.0502 | 0.4143 |
| AFTER | Springfield | 16,800 | 60 | 431 | 0.0357 | 0.2565 |
| CHANGE |  | 2270 | -13 | -171 | -28.91\% | -38.08\% |
| BEFORE |  | 8,780 | 30 | 380 | 0.0342 | 0.4328 |
| AFTER | The Dailes | 17,295 | 30 | 522 | 0.0173 | 0.3018 |
| CHANGE |  | 8515 | 0 | 142 | -49.23\% | -30.26\% |
| BEFORE |  | 5,835 | 15 | 209 | 0.0257 | 0.3582 |
| AFTER | Tillamook | 6,875 | 23 | 231 | 0.0335 | 0.3360 |
| CHANGE |  | 1040 | 8 | 22 | 30.14\% | -6.19\% |
| BEFORE | TOTAL, | 105,110 | 380 | 4,225 | 0.0362 | 0.4020 |
| AFTER | ALL | 127,740 | 341 | 3,900 | 0.0267 | 0.3053 |
| CHANGE | CITIES | 22630 | . 39 | -325 | -26.16\% | -24.05\% |
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## PREFACE

Early in 1942 the routing of US99 through tie cities of Eugene and Medford was changeci to provide a oneway system through certain congested areas which haci maintained a high volume of trasfic and a relatively high accident experience.

This type of traffic control was a "stop-gap" measure designed to relieve congestion and reduce accidents with a minimum capital outlay.

In 1944, a brief analysis of the effectiveness of the one-way system in these two cities was made and the results from the standpoint of acciaent experience and increased capacity was sn gratifying that similar installations were made in the citics of Lebanon anci Pendleton.

In July, 3948 , the 1944 analysis was printed with limited distribution. The interest in this report was so widespread that a further analysis seemed desirable.

In April, 1951, a report entitled "Oregon Urban One-Way Streets" was published In that report the analysis of the one-way couplets in Lebanon and Pendleton was combined with the anlaysis previously prepared for the cities of Eugene and Nedford.

The objectives of this report are to evaluate the effectiveness of oneway couplets in eight additional cities; to rovise, correct, and expand the 1951 analysis of one-way couplets ir Eugene, Medford, Lebanon, and Pendleton; and to combine this information so that a body of information can be made available uncier one cover.
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## GLOSSARY

Accident (or Collision): A reported motor vehicle traffic accident which results in death, injury or property damage.

Accident Rate: The number of accidents per million vehicle miles.
Accident Cost Rate: The cost of accidents in dollars per million vehicle miles.
Accident Severity Rate: The number of personal injuries and fatalities per hundred million vebicle miles.

Arterial Street (or Highway): A general term designating a major highway, usually on a continuous or through route; includes through strects and freeways as separately defined below.

Average Daily Traffic (ADT): The number of vehicles passing a designated point during 24 hours of an average of the seven days of the week generally for the 52 weeks of the year, but such would also be applicable to any other specified period.

By-Pass Route: A highway intended to divert through traffic from a particular area by going around (or passing by) the area.
Capacity, Practical: The maximum number of vehicles that can pass a given point on a roadway or in a designated lane during one hour without the traffic density being so great as to cause unreasonable delay, hazard, or restriction to the drivers' freedom to maneuyer under the prevailing roadway and traficic conditions.
Casualty: Either a personal injury or a fatality resulting from an accident.
Central Business District (CBD): That portion of a city comprising the over-all area of business concentration.

Expressway: A divided arterial highway for through traffic with full or partial control of aceess and generally with grade separations at intersections.
Fatality: Any death resulting from an accident within six months of the accident.
Freeway: An arterial divided highway with full control of access, no parking and no crossing movements at grade.
One-Way Couplet: A pair of one-way streets on which traffic moving in one direction is normally separated from that in the opposite direction on the other street by one city block.
Personal Injury: Any injury suffered by a person in an accident that requires treatment by a practitioner of medicine at some time within six months of accident. An injury is classed as an accident injury regardless of whether treatment was actually received.

Property Damage: Damage to or destruction of any property as the immediate and direct result of an accident. It does not include loss of human life or personal injury.
Through Street: Every street or portion thereof at the entrance to which vehicular traffic from intersecting streets is required by law to stop before entering or crossing.
Travel Time: The time of travel, including stops and delays except those off the traveled way.
Vehicle Mile: A quantitative measure of the amount of travel on a given section, and in al: cases is computed by multiplying the average daily traffic for the section by its length.

## CONCLUSIONS

1. Monetary savings can be realized by the motor vehicle user after a one-way couplet is established through the reduction of the accident-cost rate and the reduction of sravel time.
2. Less congestion and a consequent reduction in travel time can be expected after a one-way couplet is established.
3. The accident rate will normally decline after a one-way couplet is established.
4. The accident severity rate will normally remain the same or decline after a one-way couplet is established.
5. A change in collision types can be expected after a one-way couplet is established.
6. A reduction in accident experience between intersections and at intersections can be expected after a one-way couplet is established. The greater percentage reduction will occur between intersections.

## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

1. Travel time decreased on each of the five highway sections for which travel time data were available after one-way couplets were established. The amount of this reduction varied from 5.8 to 39.9 percent, which values correspond to travel time savings ranging from 0.1 to 1.5 minutes.
2. Accident rate (accidents per million vehicle miles) reductions were observed in the cities of Coos Bay, Corvallis, Eugene, Lebanon, Redmond, Springfield and The Dalles after one-way couplets were established. The reductions ranged from 18.2 to 56.3 percent.
s. Accident rates in the cities of Astoria, Pendleton, Salem and Tillamook remained substantially unchanged after one-way couplets were established.
3. Accident severity rate (casualties per hundred million vehicle miles) reductions were observed in the cities of Coos Bay, Mediord, Salem, Springfield and The Dalles after one-way couplets were established. The reductions ranged from 26.7 to 53.7 percent.
4. Accident severity rates in the cities of Astoria, Corvallis, Eugene, Lebanon, Pendleton, Redmond and Tillamook remained substantially unchanged after one-way couplets were established.
5. The accident cost rate (dollars per million vehicle miles) decreased on nine of the 12 highway sections studicd after one-way couplets were established. The decrease ranged from 2.5 to 63.0 percent. Increases in the accident cost rate occurred in three cities and ranged from 20.4 to 59.2 percent.
6. The accident cost rate for all cities averaged $\$ 12,000$ per million vehicle miles in the "before" period while in the "after" period the accident cost rate averaged $\$ 9,000$ showing a reduction of 25 percent.
7. Rear-end, turning and pedestrian accident rates at intersections were reduced after one-way couplets were established. Other intersectional accident types remaincd substantially unchanged. The overall intersectional accident rate showed a 26.4 percent reduction.
8. Rear-end, turning, pedestrian, sideswipe meeting, parking and backing accident ratès between intersections were reduced after one-way couplets were established. Other non-intersectional accident type rates remained substantially unchanged. The over-all non-intersectional accident rate showed a 42.5 percent reduction.

## INTROOUCTION

In the case of a large number of the cifies in Oregon, the State Highway routes penetrate the Central Business District of the city. In the main, the streets over winich such nighways are routed are narrow and, as the street is fronted by the major business estabiishments of the city, through moving traffic, as well as traffic turning off or onto the highway, is reiatively heavy. As these volumes increase, congestion and accidents also increase to the point where some treatment increasing practical capacity and reducing congestion becomes imperative. Such treatment may consist of one or more of the following:

1. Construction of routes (freeway or other) through, adjacent to or bypassirg cities andi/or their Central Business Districts.
2. Street wigening.
3. Prohibition of parking.
4. Fstablishment of ore-way couplets.

To properly treat such a problem, it is necessary to either provide a facility which will attract a sufficient amount of traffic from the highway passing through the Central Business District, or to institute measures which will increase the practical capacity of the exjsting highway.

In cities which are major shopping centers, a oy-pass route does not attract enough traffic from the existing highway route to alleviate the problem becausc a large percentage of the traffic is local in character and cannot be diverted to a by-pass route. In these cisies it is necessary to consider the possibility of developing some facility for the common use of both through and local traffic.

With the relatively low traffic volumes encountered in cities with populations comparable to those included in this study, there is seldom a traffic volume warrant for a freeway or expressway even though such a facility in the Central Business District would certainly be a partial solution to the problem.

Widening the existing highway is generally not feasible due to the limited width of the highway right of way and excessive costs of obtaining more in most Central Business Districts.

Prohibition of parking on the highway route is not readily acceptable in the small city because of opposition on the part of local business groups. With parking space at a premium, it is difficult to put into operation any traffic plan involving the wholesale elimination of parkirg.

In such a situation, the developmert of a one-way street couplet has offered a solution possible of realization from a cost standpoint, and on the basis of experierce had to date, one that gives a maximum return in increased capacity and accident reduction per dollar expended.

The one-way couplets included in this study are located in the following cities which are also shown on the Frontispiece.

1. Astoria
2. Eugene
3. Pendleton
4. Springf:eld
5. Coos Bay
6. Lebanon
7. Redmond
8. The Dalles
9. Corvaliis
10. Meàford
11. Salem
12. Tillamonk


#### Abstract

ANALYSIS

\section*{GENERAL}

In order to compare traffic and accident data on a street system under different methods of traffic operation, it was necessary that the "before" and "after" periods be equal in duration. Furthermore, it was necessary to include the data for those streets which changed from low to high volume arterials by reason of the change in highway routing, as well as those portions of the original high volume streets which reverted to local service status and lower traffic volumes after the one-way couplet was established.


## METHODS OF ANALYSIS

Before and after accident cata were developed for each one-way couplet for comparative purposes. In all but threc cities, namely Eugene, Medford and Salem, it was possible to obtain accident data for three-year periods before and after the establishment of the one-way couplets. In the instance of these three cities where this was not possible, accident data were prepared for one-year perinds.

It is generally recognized that immediately after a new traffic measure is applied, motorists require a period of time to accustom themselves to the revised traffic pattern. During this period, it is logical to assume that the traffic and accident data do not reflect a normal situation.

In the course of this study, the accident data in four cities were analyzed by statistical methods in an effort to establish the time at which the accident experience became stable after the one-way couplet was established and the amount of variation between the ubserved and expected accident experience during this transition period. Based on these tests, there was no clear and definite time at which the accident experience becomes stable, nor was there positive evidence of significant variation in the accident experience.

For this report an arbitrary transition period of approximately six months was selected for each city. During this period ail data were omitied from the study to preclude the possinility of using abnormal data.

The Chi-Square Test was used to determine the significance of the change in accident experience on the streets affected by the establishment of the one-way couplet. Chi-Square ( $\mathrm{x}^{2}$ ) is a numerical value resulting from a formula wherein the difference between the "before" total and the "after" total, and the size of each total are the main factors. This value is then compared against tabled values which, in effect, reveal the probability of the difference being due to chance.

If the probability that the difference in accident totals could have occurred by chance was six or morc percent, it was said that the difference was not significant, or in other words, there was no change. On the other hand, if the chance element were present less than six percent of the time the difference was considered either highly significant or significant, depending on the size of the chance element. In these cases, it was said that there was an actual change in the accident experience.

Such a procedure has the advantage of taking the decision as to whether an increase or decrease in accident experience is significant out of the realm of opinion and placing it upon a factual basis. It was of particular value in cases where on the basis of percentage increase or decrease there appeared to be a significant difference.

A detailed explanation of the techniques used to determine statistical level of significance and
the meaning of the terms highly significant, significant and not significant in terms of probabilities is contained in the Appendix.

The cost of accidents was based on unit costs estimated by the National Safety Council( to be $\$ 21,800$ for each death, $\$ 950$ for each personal injury and $\$ 180$ for each property damage (only) accident.

Travel time was measured by a License Recording Study wherein stations were established at each end of the section in question, and the license number and time of passing for each vehicle were recorded. License numbers were then matched and the elapsed time between stations was computed. These data are available for five of the 12 one-way couplets studied.

Summary tabulations showing these data were prepared and will be discussed hereinafter.

## Vehicle Miles

Table I is a summary of the pertinent traffic and accident data for each of the 12 one-way couplets included in this study. The number of vehicle miles increased in every city with the exception of Eugene and Medford. The reduction in total vehiclo miles in these two cities was due to wartime travel restrictions. In other cities, the increase was attributable to the length of the highway routing added by new construction and/or traffic volume increases resulting from both normal growth and diversion from other strects.

In some cities such as Redmond and The Dalles, the diversion was apparently considerable, probably because congestion on the original route was such that motorists used other streets which were more desirable than the original but less desirable than that offered by the one-way couplet.
(1) 1951 National Sazety Commeil Release.

TABLE!
COMPARISON OF TRAVEL TIME AND ACCIDENT DATA

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Merion of of } \\ \text { Stuly } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \substack{\text { travel } \\ \text { Tame }} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Atublelats } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Amident } \\ & \text { Kater } \\ & \text { Arter } \\ & \text { Fer mend } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cuty |  |  |  |  |  |  | (minn) |  | 3 ze Ore | After | $\underset{\substack{\mathrm{Clngmg} \\(\%)}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Seforn } \\ \text { \{Per minn) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\frac{\text { cutige }}{(\%) 01}$ |
| Astoria | 3 | 3 | 4,575 | 4,326 | $+7.7$ | (1) | ${ }^{6}$ | ...... | 283 | 282 | $-7.4$ | 61.85 | 53.18 | -14.0(3) |
| Coos Bay ......... | 3 | 3 | 8,198 | 13,622 | + 66.2 | (1) | (1) | ..... | 409 | 297 | -27.4 | 49.89 | 21.80 | -56.3 ${ }^{(3)}$ |
| Corvallis ........... | 3 | 3 | 10,914 | 12,521 | + 14.7 | 3.78 | 3.56 | -0.22 | 530 | 395 | $-25.5$ | 48.56 | 31.17 | -35.8(1) |
| Eugene | 1 | 1 | 5,567 | 4,101 | $-26.3$ | 8.06 | 6.54 | -1.52 | 408 | 153 | --62.5 | 73.29 | 37.31 | -49.1(1) |
| Lelbanon | 3 | 3 | 4,726 | 6,796 | + 43.8 | 1.86 | 1.76 | -0.10 | 226 | 266 | $+17.7$ | 47.82 | 39.24 | $-18.2{ }^{\circ}$ |
| Meafford . | 1 | 1 | 9,720 | 9,079 | $-6.6$ | © | 10 | --..- | 16.3 | 84 | $-48.5$ | 16.77 | 9.25 | -44.8 9 |
| Pendieton . | 3 | 3 | 8,66:3 | 10,169 | $+17.4$ | 3.75 | 3.20 | $-0.55$ | 385 | 490 | +-27.3 | 44.44 | 48.19 | +8.43) |
| - Redmond .........- | 3 | 3 | 5,097 | 9,168 | $\div 79.9$ | (1) | (1) | ..... | 155 | 164 | $\div 5.8$ | 30.41 | 17.89 | -41.20 |
| Salem .............. | 1 | 1 | 22,801 | 23,766 | + 4.2 | (1) | (1) | ...... | 1,005 | 1,000 | $-0.5$ | 44.08 | 42.08 | $-4.5{ }^{3}$ |
| Springfield ........ | 3 | 3 | 22,598 | 27,024 | $+19.6$ | (1) | (3) | ...... | 602 | 431 | $-28.4$ | 26.64 | 15.95 | -40.13 |
| The Dalles ....... | 3 | 3 | 7,306 | 15,042 | $+105.9$ | (1) | (1) | --...- | 380 | 522 | +37.4 | 52.01 | 34.70 | -33.3(1) |
| Tillamook ......... | 3 | 3 | 5,047 | -5,948 | + 17.9 | 3.56 | 2.14 | $-1.42$ | 209 | 23.1 | +10.5 | 41.61 | 38.84 | $-6.20$ |
| TOTAIS OR AVERAGES |  |  | 115,212 | 142,162 | + 23.4 |  |  |  | 4,755 | 4,295 | $-9.7$ | 41.27 | 30.21 | $-26.8$ |
| () No data available. <br> (3) Not signisicant. <br> (6) Highly significant. <br> (9) SIgnificar.t. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Travel Time

The change in the time required for the average vehicle to negotiate the facility was considered to be the primary measure of the reduction of congestion on the traffic facility. Table I shows that travel time was roduced on each of the five one-way couplets for which travel time data were available. The amount of this reduction varied from 5.8 to 39.9 percent which values correspond to travel time savings ranging from 0.i to 1.5 minutes. The onc-way couplet, therefore, offers a means of reducing travel time of traffic because under one-way operation conflicts between opposing streams of traffic are eliminated, traffic signal systems operate more efficiently, delay arising from turning movements is reduced and motorists are able to pass slower moving vehicles on streets otherwise too congested for passing maneuvers.

Figure 1 graphically portrays a comparison of travel time before and after the one-way couplets were established.


Figure 1

## Accident Rates

Total accicents are not as meaningful as the accident rates which take into account the vehicle miles of travel. For example, the total accidents of the Second-Third Street. One-Way Couplet in The Dalles increased 37.4 percent, but totai vehicle miles increased 105.9 percent, and as a consequence the accident rate showed a decrease of 33.3 percent. Total accidents decreased on five of the one-way coupiets, whereas the accident rate decreasec on 11 of the 12 one-way couplets.

Analysis revealed that the reduction in accident rate was highiy significant in seven cases, significant in one case and not significant in three cases. The increase in accident rate in Pendleton was found to be not significant. These findings indicated that the accident rate normally cecreases after the establishment of a one-way couplet.

The "before" and "after" aceident rates for each of the one-way couplets are shown graphically in Figure 2.


Figure 2

## Accident Severity Rates

Table Ii shows a summary of the accident severity and accident cost data. The column headed "casualties" shows the actual number of persons injured and killed.

In six cities there were more casualties during the "after" period. As shown previousiy, vehicle miles of travel on nine of the 12 one-way couplets studied also showed an increase during tine same period. In general, vehicle miles of travel increased at a faster rate than casualties and as a result a higher accident severity rate was observed in only three cities after the one-way couplets were established.

Analysis revealed that the accident severity rate increases in these three cities were not significant. Reductions in the accident severity rate were observed in nine cities. The reduction was highly significant in three cities, significant in two cities and not significant in four cities. This indieated that the accident severity rate normally remains the same or decreases after the establishment of a one-way couplet.

## Accident Cost Rates

Table II shows that the accident cost rates for nine of the 12 one-way couplets studied decreased after the one-way couplets were established. The unusually high increase in Medford was largely due to one accident wherein two persons were killed. Thesc cases show that the accident cost rate can be reduced by the establishment of a one-way couplet. These data further illustrate that substantial savings to motor vehicle users can be realized after the establishment of a one-way couplet through the reduction of the accident cost rate.

TABLE II
COMPARISON OF ACCIDENT SEVERITY AND COST DATA

| crity | Perian ot straly |  | Camuntimat |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ \text { of All docldetitg } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | Actident |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Chafrare } \\(\%)}}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Yra, |  |  |  |  |  | Aefors | er | Change | (zetors) |  | sitert(Dolkirs) |  |  | $\underset{(\% \% \text { mor }}{\text { Chango }}$ |
| Astnria | 3 | 3 | 29 | 38 | +9 | 633.88 | 771.36 | +21.73 | \$ | 73,450 | \$ | 77,140 | $\div 5.0$ | \$16,055 | \$15,659 | $-2.5$ |
| Coos Bay .. | 3 | 3 | 39 | 30 | -9 | 475.71 | 220.24 | -53.7 (1) |  | 126,480 |  | 77,820 | -38.5 | 15,428 | 5,713 | $-63,0$ |
| Corvallis .- | 3 | 3 | 54 | 6.3 | + 9 | 434.78 | 497.19 | $+0.50$ |  | 139,140 |  | 121,770 | $-12.5$ | 12,749 | 9,610 | -24.6 |
| Eugene .. | 1 | 1 | 44 | :9 | --25 | 790.37 | 463.35 | -41.4(2) |  | 109,120 |  | 42,170 | -61.4 | 19,601 | 10,284 | --47.5 |
| Lebanon .. | 3 | 3 | 2: | 23 | + 2 | 444.35 | 338.43 | -23.8 9 |  | 78,600 |  | 66,130 | $-15.9$ | 16,631 | 9,731. | $-41.5$ |
| Medford | 1 | 1 | 22 | 9 (6) | $-13$ | 226.34 | 99.13 | -56.2¢ |  | 47,380 |  | 64,290 | $+35.7$ | 4,872 | 7,081 | $+45.3$ |
| Pencleton | 3 | 3 | 39 | 44 | + 5 | 450.21 | 432.71 | - 3.90 |  | 101,130 |  | 144,190 | +42.6 | 11,674 | 14,180 | $+21.5$ |
| Reimond.. | 3 | 3 | 15 | 22 | +7 | 294.28 | 239,97 | $-18.5{ }^{\circ}$ |  | 40,170 |  | 68,390 | +70.3 | 7,881 | 7,460 | $-5.3$ |
| Salem | 1 | 1 | 130 | 99 | - 31 | 570.16 | 417.90 | .-26.76 |  | 286,040 |  | 260,370 | $-9.0$ | 12,545 | 10,991 | -12.4 |
| Springfield | 3 | 3 | 92 | 72 | $-20$ | 407.12 | 266.43 | $-34.63$ |  | 203,290 |  | 135,180 | $-33.5$ | 8,996 | 5,002 | $-44.4$ |
| The Dalles | 3 | 3 | 35 | 35 | 0 | 479.03 | 232.68 | $-51.4{ }^{\text {® }}$ |  | 1:6,920 |  | 142,480 | $+21.9$ | 16,002 | 9,472 | $-40.8$ |
| Tillamook | 3 | 3 | 15 | 34 | +19 | 287.20 | 571.69 | -92.4( |  | 49,170 |  | 69,740 | +41.8 | 3,742 | 11,726 | $+20.4$ |
| TOTALS OR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(0) Persons injurec arm killed.
(3) Not signifjcant.
(3) Ifighly siguificant.
(1) Significant.
(6) Irciuctes two fatalities.

## Collision Types

An independent study of six sclected cities ${ }^{\text {® }}$ was cunducted to determine the type of accidents which were susceptible to reduction by one-way treatment. One-way coup-ets were established in these cities after 1950 . Accident data for three years prior to the establishment of the onc-way couplets were compared with the accident data for threc years after the one-way couplets were established. Collision type accident rates in terms of accidents per milion vchicle miles were computed and are shown in Table III.

The intersectional accident rate decreased from 18.36 to 13.52 acciaents per million vehicle miles, a 26.4 percont reduction. All intersectional accident type rates, with the exception of trie sideswipe overtaking and non-collision accicient rates, showed either a reduction or no change. Highly significant reductions occurred in the rear-end, turning and pedestrian eategories. Changes in the other types were not significant.

With respect to non-intersectional accident rates, it is seen that the over-all rate was reduced from 20.27 to 11.65 accidents per million vehicle miles, a 42.5 percent reauction. Except for the increase in the sideswipe overtaking accident rate, all non-intersectionai accident type rates showed a reduction or no change. The reductions in the rear-end, sideswipe meeting, turning, parking, pedestrian and backing accident rates were highly significant. Other changes were not significant.

In the aggregate, the accicent rate showed a reduction from 38.63 to $25 . .7$ accidents per million vehicle miles, a 34.8 percent reciuction.

There is a popular theory that one-way couplets are effective in substantially reducing intersectional accidents due to the decrease in possible points of conflict. This theory was well substantiated by this study. By contrast, very little emphasis has been placed on the vaiue of one-way couplets insofar as the reduction of non-intersectional accidents is concerned. The finding that the non-intersectional accident rate showed a greater percentage recuction than did the intersectional accident rate was therefore considered important and deserving of considerable cmphasis.
(1) Astoria, Coos Bay, Redmond, Springfield, The Dalles, Tillamook,

TABLE III

## SUMMARY OF ACCIDENT RATES ${ }^{1}$ BY COLLISION TYPE FOR SIX SELECTED CITIES

|  | COLLISION TYPE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{a}}{\stackrel{a}{c}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \cline { 2 - 2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\Xi$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\#$ $\#$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ED } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { む } \\ & \text { en } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | suotstifoj [e7oj. |
| UNTERSECTIONAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before | 2.66 | 0.02 | 4.48 | 0 | 0.21 | 7.18 | 0.95 | 0.02 | 0.41 | 1.23 | 0.66 | 0.10 | $18.36{ }^{\text {] }}$ | 126 |
| After | 2.27 | 0.01 | 3.66 | 0 | 0.34 | 4.89 | 0.87 | 0.04 | 10.28 | 10.54 | 0.57 | $0.05{ }^{1}$ | 13.52 | 1024 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NON-INTERSECTIONAE |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before | 0.08 | 0.15 | 6.42 | 0.74 | 2. 8 | 1.26 | 7.54 | 0.10 | 10.68 \} | \| 0.42 | 0.70 | 0.101 | 20.27 | 1069 |
| After | 0.03 | 0.05 | 2.98 | 0.05 | 2.60 | 0.65 | 4.21 | 2.07 | 0.53) | 10.09 | 0.32 | 0.091 | 11.65 | 883 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before | 2.75 | 0. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 11.30 | 0.74 | 2.39 | 8.34 | 6. 49 | 0.12 | 1.12 | 1.65 | 1.36 | 0.20 | 38.63 | 2038 |
| After | 2.28 | 0.05 | 6. 6 ¢ 4 | 0.05 | 2.94 | 5.541 | 5.08 | 0.11 | 0.81 | 0.63 | 0.89 | 0.14 | 25.27 | 1907 |

$\therefore$ Accidents prem millimn vericie miles.


#### Abstract

ASTORIA

\section*{General}

Prior to the establishment of the one-way couplet in the City of Astoria, US30 and US101 were تouted as shown in Figure 3 . The streets comprising this highway routing varied in width from 44 to 48 fee.. The streets later to become a part of the one-way couplet were Commercial and Bond Streets. The wicith of Commercial Street ranged from 44 feet near 14th Street to 34 feet near Eighth Strect. Eighth Sireet was 34 feet wide. There were no traffic signals on any of thesc streets.

The Bond-Commerciai Street Onc-Way Couplet was estabiished Juiy 21, 1953. As iilustrated in Figures 3 and 4, westbound traffic was routed over Bond Street and eastbound traffic was routed over sections of Eighth and Commercial. Streets. Traffic signals at seven key intersections were put into operation on this date ard the City of Astoria opened its one-way grid to traffic on the same day. The westbound leg of the highway couplet was 0.44 miles in length, and the over-a.l lengtr. of the eastbound leg was 0.48 miles.

For comparative purposes, the 36 -month period from Ju-y 1 , 950 to June 30,1953 , was selected for the "oefore" period, and the 36 -month period from May 1, 1954 to April 30, 1957, was chosen for the "after" period. The traffic data for the ten-month interim perinc were not used because it was assumed that they would not reflect a normal situation due to the revised traffic pattern.

\section*{Trafíc Data}

Traffic volumes on Bond Street during the "before" period averaged $4,7: 0$ vehicles per day and ranged from a high of 7,700 west of Eighth Street to a low of 2,000 east of 14 th Strect. Traffic volumes on Commercial Street averaged 4,805 vehicles per day, and ranged from a high of 6,500 near 11th Street to a low of 3,400 near Eighth Street. The average daily traffic on Eighth Strcet botween Bond and Commercial Strects was 1,460 vchicles. There were $4,575,020$ vehicle miles during the "before" period, and the average daily traffic for the three streets was 8,705 vchicles.

During the "aftex" pewiod, traffic volumes on Bond Street averaged 4,630 vchicles per day and ranged from a high of 6,300 west of 14 th Street to a low of 4,400 near Eighth Street. On Cornmercial Street and Eighth Street, traffic volumes were somewhat higher averaging 5,130 vehicles per day, and varying from 5,700 west of 14 th Street on Commercial Street to 4,400 on Eighth Street. During the "after" period, the average daily traffic on the couplet was 9,370 vehicies, and vehicle miles of travel amounted to $4,926,355$.

There were 30 travel time data available.


HIGHWAY ROUTE BEFORE AND AFTER ESTABLISHMENT OF ONE WAY COUPLET

City of Astoria


## Accident Data

The pertinent accident data for each period of study are shown in Table IV.

TABLE IV
SUMMARY OF ACCIDENT DATA
Bond-Commercial Street One-Way Couplet
Astoria

| Item | Bejore Period <br> 7/1/50-6/30/53 | A/ter Period $5 / 1 / 51 / 4 / 30 / 57$ | Percent <br> Ch(inge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Accidents ............................ | 243 | 262 | -- 7.4 |
| Accident Rate() ............................ | 61.85 | 53.18 | - 14.0 |
| Persons Injured ............................ | 29 | 38 | $\pm 31.0$ |
| Persons Killed | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Accidernt Severity Rater) ......... .... | 633.88 | 771.36 | +21.7 |
| Acciderst Cost | \$73,450 | \$77,140 | + 5.0 |
| Accident Cost Ratee ........-....... | 16,055 | 15,659 | $-2.5$ |

(1) Accidents per million vehicle miles.
(1) Ir.juries and fatalities per hundred million vehisle miles.
(3) Cost of aceidentes per millon veticle miles.

This tabulation shows that the number of accidents and the accident rate decreased after the oneway couplet was established. The reduction in the accident rate was not significant, however. There was an increase in the frequency of persons irlured and the accident severity rate after the onc-way couplet was established. The increase in the accident severity rate was not significant.

Figure 5 graphically shows a comparisor of the accident rates for three consecutive 12 -month periods immediately preceding the establishment of the one-way couplet, with the accident rates for three cursecutive 12 -monthi yeriods immediately following the ten-montr transition period. In addition, the composite accident rates for the "before" and "after" periods of study are shown. This graph shows that during the "after" period of study the acoident rate for each year was lower than the rate for the preceding year.

Table $V$ shows the accident data for each period of study arranged by collision type. This listing shows that after the one-way couplet was established, there was an appreciable reduction of turning, parking and fixce objcct accidents. On the other hand, rear-erd anc siccswipe overtaking accidents increased considerably in number.


## Summary

The accident rates for the streets in question during the years prior to the establishment of the Bond-Commercial Street One-Way Couplet were more than double the state-wide accident rate for urban portions of Primary State Highways. Since the decrease in the accident rate after the one-way couplet was established was not significant, it can be concluded that the one-way couplet did not change the situation.

TABLE V

## ACCIDENT LISTING

Bond-Commercial Street One-Way Couplet
Astoris

ing the period from July 1, 1950 to June 30 , 1953 between the terminj of the ne-way couplet un those streets which were a part of US101 \& US30 before the establishment of the oneway couplet and on those streets which later became a part of the one-way couplet. The after period inchudes all aceidents which occurred after the establishment of the oneway couplet during the period from May 1, 1954 to April 30, 1957 on the foregoing sections.

## COOS BAY

## General

US10- was routed over Broadway in the City of Coos Bay as shown in Figure before the Broadway-Bayshore Drive One-Way Couplet was established. The width of Broadway varied from 26 feet on the north to 40 seet on the south. Traffic signals existed at the intersections of Central, Anderson, and Curtiss Avenues wish Broaciway. Bayshore Drive, later to become a part of the orne way coupiet, Was only 0.30 miles in iength and it was serminated by Market Avemue on the north and Curtiss Avenue on the south.

On November 7, 1951, the Broaciway-Bayshore Drive One-Way Complet was established. As shown in Figures 6 anc 7 Broadway was designated a one-way southbourd strect, ard Bayshore Drive was designated a one-way northbound stree.. The estabishment of this one-way coup et necessitatea the construction of the northerly portion of Bayshore Drive, and Jater the installation of a traffic signal at the interscction of Central Avenue and Bayshore Drive. T'Fe southound leg of this couplet was 0.75 miles in length, and the northbound log was 0.81 miles in length.

The 36-month period from November 1,1948 to October" 31, 1951 was taken for the "before" period of study and the $36-m o n t h$ period May $1,3.952$ to April 30,1955 was selected as the "after" period of study. It will be noted that the "aiter" period of stuciy started about six months after the onc-way couplet was established.

## Traffic Data

During the "before" period, traffit volumes on Broadway averaged 9,320 vehicles per day and rangec from a high of 10,000 just south of Central Avenue to a low of 8,000 near Fir Avcrue. The average daily traffic on Bayshore Drive was orly 1,615 vehicles. Ir the aggregate, there were 8,198,264 venicle miles of travel during the "before" period and the average daily iraffic for both streets combined was 9,980 vehicles.

During the "after" period, traffic volumes on Broadway averaged 8,130 vehicles per day, and ranged from a high of 8,700 just south of Central Avenue to a 0 ow of 8,000 at either end of Broadway. On Bayshore Drive, the average caily traffic was 7,830 vehicles. Traffic volumes on Bayshore Drive varied from a high of 9,500 vehicees per day just south of Central Avenue to a low of 7,000 near the north end of the couplet. During the "after" perioc, the average daily traffic on the coupiet was 15,960 vehicles and vehicle miles of travel totaled $i 3,621,689$.

There were no travel time data available

HIGHWAY ROUTE BEFORE AND AFTER ESTABLISHMENT OF ONE WAY COUPLET


Figure 6

## Accident Data

The salient accident data for each perioc of study are presented in Table VT.

TABLE VI
SUMMARY OF ACCIDENT DATA

Broadway-Bayshore Drive One-Way Couplet
Coos Bay

| Item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before Perind } \\ 1 / 1 / 48-10 / 31 / 52 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A/ter Periad } \\ & 5 / 1 / 52-4 / 30 / 5, \end{aligned}$ | Percert Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Acciderts ........................... | 409 | 297 | - 27.4 |
| Accident Rate ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 49.89 | 21.80 | - 56.3 |
| Persurs Injured ................... ........ | 38 | 30 | - 21.1 |
| Persuns Killed | 1 | 0 | -100 |
| Accident Severity Ratens .............. | 475.71. | 220.24 | $-53.7$ |
| Accicent Cost ................... .......... | \$126,480 | \$77,820. | -. 38.5 |
| Accident Cost Rate(1) ... .. ............... | 15,42n | 5,713 | - 63.0 |

(1) Accidents per mollion vehicle miles.
(e) Insurics and fatalities per fundred million vehicle miles.
(a) Cust of ancidents per million vehicle miles.

Examination of this table discloses that there was marked impruvement in all accident categories after the one-way couplet was estabiished. The reductions in the accident rate and accident severity rate were highly significant.

Figure 8 graphically portrays a comparison of the accident rates for three consecutive 12 month periocs immedjately preceding the establishment of the one-way couplet with the accident rates for three consecitive 12 -rnonth periods immediately following the six-monti trarsition period. The composite accident rates for both periocis of study are also shown. It can be seen that the aceident rate for each l2-month period after the one-way couplet was established was .ower than the accident rate for the prececiing period.

Table VII shows the accident data for each period of study arranged by collision type. This listing discloses that after the one-way couplet, was cstab-ished, there was an appreciable reduction of rear-crd, siceswipe, mecting, pedestrian, and backing accicients. On the other hand, angle and sideswipe overtaking accidents increased considerably in number.


## Summary

There were very real traffic problems on Rroadway prior to the establishment of the one-way coup--ct. As the records show, the street was quite narrow, traffic voiumes were high, and as a resuit the accident rate was about twice as high as the state-wide accident rate for urban portions of Primary State Highways. After the one-way couplet was established, the accident rate declined to a level on:y slightly above the state-wide accident rate for urban portions of Primary State Highways. The one-way couplet also alleviated the capacity problem and the monetary savings realized by the road user through the reduction of the accident rate and less congestior. were substantial.

In 工ight of these findings, it was concuded that the Broadway-Bayshore Drive One-Way Couplet was of great benefit to the motoring public and the local area.

TABLE YII

## ACCIDENT LISTING

## Broadway-Bayshore Drive One-Way Couplat

 Coos Bay

## CORVALLIS

## Cencral

Prior to the establishment of the one-way couplet in the City of Corvallis, Var» Buren and Third Streets served as the route for US99W as illustrated in Figure 9. Van Buren Street was 32 feet in width; and Third Street was 46 feet wide between Van Buren and Adams Street, 32 feet wide from Adams Street to the bridge over the Willamette River, which was 24 feet in width. The portions of these two streets studied were 1.19 miles in J.ength.

The strects later to hecome a part of the one-way couplet were Farrison and Fourth Streets. Harrison Street was 46 feet in width throughout the 0.32 miles studied, Fourth Street was 32 feet wide except for the two-block section between Jackson and Madison Streets which was 54 feet wide. Fourth Street terminated at "C" Street, a point 0.67 miles south of Van Buren Street. There were no traffic signals on any of these four strects.

Fourth Street was cxtenced south across the Willamette River to an intersection with Third Street during the summer of 1949. Then, on August 24, 1949, the Van Buren, Fourth-Farrison, Third Strect One-Way Couplet as shown in Figures 9 and 10 was opened to traffic. Northbound motorists were directed over Third and Harrison Streets and southbound traffic was routed via Van Buren and Fourth Streets. On September 1, 1951, the widening of Fourth Street from 32 feet to 54 feet between Van Buren and Jackson Streets and Madison and Jefferson Streets was accomplished.

The southbound leg of this one-way couplet was 1.16 miles in length, and the northbound leg was 1.26 miles in length. Traffic signals at Van Buren, Monrse, Jefferson and "A" Street intersections with Third and Fourth Streets were put in operation on June 21, 1951. The traffic signals at the Madison Street intersections with Third and Fourth Streets were insta'led on September 25, 1952.

This couplet served as the route of US99W until September, 1954, when Harrison and Van Buren Streets were replaced by a one-way routing extending north on Third and Fourth Strects.

For comparative purposes, the 36 -month period from August 1, 1946, to July 31, 1949, was chosen for the "before" period. The "after" period was considered as being that period of time from September 1, 1949 , which was about three months after the one-way couplet was established, to August 31,1954 . In order to obtain data for a three-year period after the one-way couplet was established, and before Harrison and Van Buren Streets were deleted from the one-way couplet, it was not possible to start the "after" period of study at a later date.

HIGHWAY ROUTE BEFORE AND AFTER ESTABLISHMENT OF ONE WAY GOUPLET

City of Corvallis


## Traffic Data

During the "before" period, traffic volumes on Van Buren and Third Streets averaged 5,665 and 6,995 vehicles por day, respectively, while Harrison and Fourth Streets carried only 1,945 and 1,55. vehicles per day, respectively. There were $10,913,882$ vehicle mi'es of travel during the "before" period. ind the average daily traffic for this corraor of travel was 8,040 vehicies.

The fow of traffic during the "after" period was more uniformly distribated. The average daily traffic was 4,380 vehicles on Van Buren Street, $4,4 i 5$ on Fourth Street, 4,570 on Farrison Street, and 5,045 on Third street. The one-way couplet as a whole carried an average of 9,325 vehicles per day, and vehicle miles of travel amounted to $12,520,660$.

During the "before" period, it required 3.78 minutes to negotiate the section in one direction. This corresponcis to a running speed of $: 8.9 \mathrm{MP}$. After the onc-way couplet was establishec, travel time was reduced to 3.56 minutes, and the ruming speec was incrased to 20.2 MPH . Tris was a savings of 0.22 mirutes, or a 5.8 percent reduction in travei time.

## Accident Data

The pertinent accicent data for each period of study are prosented in Tabie VIII.

TABLE VIIf
SUMMARY OF ACCIDENT DATA

Var Buren-Fourth-Harrison-Third Street One-Way Couplet
Corvallis

| Item | Betore Perind 8/1/46.7/31/49 | Ajter Period <br> $9 / 3 / 51-8 / 31 / 54$ | Purcert Chunge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Accicents ...... .... .................. | 530 | 395 | -25.5 |
| Accicent Rate ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 48.56 | 31.:7 | $-35.8$ |
| Persans Injured ......... ................. | 54 | 63 | +6.7 |
| Fersons Killed ............................. | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Accident Severity Rate ${ }^{(1)}$.............. | 494.78 | 497.19 | $\cdots$ |
| Accicient Cost ............................ | \$139,140 | \$121.770 | $-2.5$ |
| Accident Cost Rateds .................... | -2,749 | 9,610 | -24.6 |

(1) Accidents per million ve'hicle miles.
(i) Injesties and [atalities per hundred miltion venicle moles.
(i) Const of accidents per million vehicle miles.
'l'his tabulation shows that there was a marked improvement in the accident record after the oneway couplet was established. Fewer acciderts in combination with more vehicle miles of traven during the "after" period resuited in a 35.8 percent reduction in the accident rate. Analysis reveals that this reduction was nighly significant. The increase in the accident severity rate was not significant.

The ascident rates fur three consecutive 22 -month periocis immodiately preceding the establishment of the onc-way couplet and three consecutive 12 -month periods following the transition period are shown grabrically in Figure 11.
'l'ajle IX shows the accicient data 三or each perion of stucty arramed by collision type. This listing reveals that after the onc-way couplet was established the decrease in total aeeirients was iargely due to the :mprovement shown in the angle and parking categories. Argie collisions decreased from 136 to 68, and purking accidents were reduced from 1:. to 53.


## Summary

After the one-way couplet was established in Corvallis, traffic was more uniformly distributed over the four streets studied. Congestion was reduced and there was a highly significant reduction in the accident rate. These factors attest to the effectiveness of this facility.

TABLE XX

## ACCIDENT LISTING

Van Buren-Fourth-Harrison-Third Street One-Way Couplet
Corvalis


## EUGENE

## General

The routing of USO9 in the City of Eugene was as shown in Figure 12 prior to the establishment of the Sixth-Seventh Avenue One-Way Couplet. There were four traffic signals at intersections on Willamette Street and onc at the intersection of Broadway and Oak Street. Seventh Avenue, later to become a part of the one-way couplet, was terminated at Garfield Street.

The Sixth-Seventh Avenue One-Way Couplet was established on February 2, 1942, after Seventh Avenue was extended northward to an intersection with US99. As illustrated in Figures 12 and 13, north. bound traffic was routed via High Strect and Sixth Avenue, and Pearl Street and Seventh Averue became the southbound routing. Each leg of this one-way couplet was 1.86 miles in length.

Because of the lengthy nature of the section and high traffic volumes, it was felt that the data for a 12 -month period before the couplet was established and a like period of time after the couplet was established would be acequate. Accordingly, the years 1941 and 1943 were used for comparative purposes. It will be noted that the "after" period of study started 11 months after the one-way couplet was established.

This one-way couplet was cventually extenced eastward to Mill Street on September 1, 1951. At that time Mill Street, a four-lane divided facility, replaced High and Pearl Streets as the north-south portion of the one-way couplet. While the original routing is delineated by white paint, the revised north-south portion of the routing is faintly visible on the aerial view (Figure 13) taken in 1958.

## Traffic Data

During the "before" period, traffic volumes averaged 8,200 vehicles per day and the vehicle miles of travel for this period totaled $5,566,980$,

Due to wartime driving restrictions and gasoline rationing, traffic volumes in the "after" period were lower, the average being 6,040 vehicles per day. There were $4,100,556$ vehicle miles of travel curing this period.

Travel time is a measure of congestion on a facility. During the "before" period it required 8.06 minutes to negotiate the facility in one direction, and after the one-way couplet was established the travel time was reduced to 6.54 minutes. This was a savings of 1.52 minutes, or in other words, a 19 percent reduction in travel time.

## HIGHWAY ROUTE BEFORE AND AFTER ESTABLISHMENT OF ONE WAY COUPLET <br> City of Eugene



AFTER

## Accident Data

The pertinent accident data for each period of study are given in Table X.

TABLE X

SUMMARY OF ACCIDENT DATA

## Sixth-Seventh Avenue One-Way Couplet

| Item | Before Periaú 1/1/41-12/31/4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { After Period } \\ & \text { I/I/43-12/31/4.3 } \end{aligned}$ | Percerit <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Accidents | 408 | 1.53 | --62.5 |
| Accident Rate ${ }^{(1)}$ | 73.29 | 37.3: | $-49.1$ |
| Persons Injured ............................ | 44 | 19 | --56.8 |
| Persons Killed | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Accident Severity Rate ${ }^{(1)}$.............. | 790.37 | 463.35 | -41.4 |
| Accident Cost ............................... | \$109,120 | \$ 42,170 | -61.4 |
| Actident Cust Rate(3) .................... | 19,605 | 10,284 | -47.3 |

(1) Accidents per minlion vehicle miles.
(1) Injuries and fatallities :uer bundized million vehicle miles.
(3) Cost of accidenti per million vehicle miles.

It can be seer that there was a sizeable reciuction in an accident categorjes after the one-way couplet was put in operation. The reduction in the accident rate was high-y significant. While the accicient severity ratc decreased 41.4 percent, analysis revealed that this was not a significant reduetion.

The accident rates for thes two perions of study are graphically shown in Fiegure 14.
Table XI shows the accident data for each period of study arranged oy conision type. This listing discloses that after the one-way couplet was establishec, the greatest improverent occurred in the angle collision category. These accicients decreased in number from 143 to 44 . Other types that were reduced appreciably in rumber include rear-end, turning, and parking accicients.


## Summary

I'he establishment of the Sixth-Seventh Avenue One-way Couplet resulted in less congestion and fewer and less serious accidents. These are the optimum results of an effective one-way couplet.

TABLE X
ACCIDENT LISTING
Sixth-Seventh Avenue One-Way Couplet
Eugene


## LEBANON

## General

Prior to the establishment of the one-way couplet in the City of Lebanon, US20 was routed over Main Street as shown in Figure 15. Main Street was 36 feet in width from Carolina Street to the Le:janon-Santiam Canal Bridge, and 20 feet in width to the south of the bridge. The section of Main Street involved in this study was 0.67 miles in tength. The sections of the city streets, Park and Carolina Streets, later to be incorporated into the one-way coup-et, were 0.56 miles in length.

The Main-Park Street One-Way Couplet was established July 1, 1948. As illustrated in Figures 15 and 26 , southbound traffic was routed over Main Street and northbound traffic was routed over Paris Street and Carolina Street. The estabiishment of this one-way couplct required the construction of an extension of Park Strect from the Lebanom-Santiam Canal to an intersection with Main Street. The Pirrx-Carolina Street leg of the couplet was 0.77 miles in length, and was 44 feet in width between Ash and Grant Streets, 22 feet in width south of Oak Street, and 32 feet in width in the remaining sections. Parking was prohibited on the east side of Park Street throughout the 32 -foot scetions and on the south side of Carolina Street.

For comparative purposes, the three-year period January 1, 1945 to Decomber 31, 1947 was chosen for the "before" period, and the three-year perion' January 1, 1949 to December 31, 1951 was selected for the "after" period. It will be noted that the "after" period of study began six months after the oneway couplet was established.

## Traffic Data

During the "before" period traffic volumes on Main Street averaged 5,465 vehicles per day. The average daily traffic on Park anc Carolina Streets was 1,165 vehicles. There were $4,726,020$ vehicle miles of travel during this perioc, and the average daily traffic for all three strects was 6,440 vehicles.

Traficic volumes on Main Street, the southbound leg of the one-way coup'ct, averaged 4,375 vehicles per day during the "after" period. Traffic volumes were slightly lower on the northbound leg, the average being 4,255 vehicles por ciay. The average daily traffic for the one-way couplet during the "after" period was 8,630 vehicles, and the vehicle miles of travel totaled $6,796,061$.

During the "before" period, it required 1.86 minutes of travel time to negotiate one direction of the section of Main Street under consideration. After the one-way couplet was established the travel time on Main Street was reduced to 1.76 minutes. This was a savings of 0.10 minutes, or a 5.33 percent reduction.

# HIGHWAY ROUTE BEFORE AND AFTER ESTABLISHMENT OF ONE WAY COUPLET <br> City of Lebonon 



## Accident Data

The pertinent accident data for each period of study are shown in Table XII.

TABLE XII
summary of accident data
Main-Park Street Ore-Way Couplet

| Iterti | Before Period 1/1/45-12/31/47 | After Period <br> 1/3/49-12/31/5) | Peicent Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Accicents .................. | 226 | 266 | + 17.7 |
| Accident Rate ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | $4{ }^{\prime} 7.82$ | 39.14 | - 18.2 |
| Persons Injurec .............. ............ | 20 | 23 | +15.0 |
| Persons Killed | 1 | 0 | --700.9 |
| Acciderit Severity Rate? .............. | 444.35 | 338.43 | $-23.8$ |
| Acciden ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cost ............................ | \$38,600 | \$66,130 | $-15.9$ |
| Accidert Cost Ratuii) ..................... | 16,631 | 9,731 | $-41.5$ |

D Accidents per miltion vebicle: míe!,
(9) Trjuries and ratalities per husedred million venicte miles,
(3) Cosi ó aecidents per million vehicle miles.

It can be seen that with the exception of the ircrease in total accidents and the tntal persons injurenf, there was a notjceable improvement in the accinent record after the one-way couplet was established. Although total accidents and personal injuries increased, they in themselves do not represent a true picture of the effects of the one-way couplet. Even though the total accidents increased, there was a reduction in the accident rate of 18.2 percent. This recuction was significart. The accident severity rate decreased 23.8 percent in spite of an increase in casua-ties. This reduction, however, is not significant.

Figure 17 graphically shows a comparison of the accident rates for three consecutive 12 -month periods preceding the establishment of the one-way couplet, with the accident rates for three consecttive 12 -month perinds immediately following the six-month transition perinc. In acidition, the composite accicent rates for the "before" and "ufter" periocis of study are shown.

Tabic XIII shows the accident data for each period of study arranged by collision type. This listing discioses that after the ore-way couplet was established, there was an appreciable seduction of rear-enci and sideswipe mecting accidents. On the oner hand, sideswipe overtaking and turning movement accidents increaseci considerably in number.


## Summary

From an accident standpoint, the Wain-Park Street One-Way Couplet was reasonably effective, primarily because of the decrease in siceswipe meeting and rear-end accidents. The cause of the unfavorable sideswipe overtaking accident experience was undoubtedly due to tine narrowness of Main Street.

The ore-way couplet did, in addition, bring about some relief from congestion, and a considerably : ower accident cost rate. These economic benefits were good indicators of the efficiency of the facility.

TABLE XIII ACCIDENT LISTING

Main-Park Street One-Way Couplet Lebaлол

| LOCATION |  | COLLISION TYPE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CLASSIF. |  |  |  |  | REMATKKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City | Lebanon |  | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c} s \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ - \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ \cdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 烒 |  |
| INTERSECTIONAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Before | 31 | - | 10 | 2 | - | 51 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 5 | - | 113 | - | 15 | 98 | - | 19 |  |
|  | After | 38 | - | 8 | - | 1 | 78 | 13. | - | 2 | 5 | 7 | - | 152 | - | 14 | 13A | - | 16 |  |
| NON-INTERSECTIONAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Befare | 2 | - | 27 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 58 | 1 | 1 | - | 4 |  | 113 | 1 | - | 112 | 1 | 1. |  |
|  | After | 2 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 34 | 5 | 44 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | - | 114 | - | 6 | 108 | - | 7 |  |
| ALL ACCIDENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Before | 33 | - | 37 | 7 | 10 | 56 | 62 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 9 | - | 226 | 1 | 15 |  | 1. | 20 |  |
|  | After | 40 | 2 | 22 | 1 | 35 | 83 | 57 | I | 6 | 6 |  | - | 256 |  | 20 | 246 | - | 23 |  |
|  |  | the period from January 1,1945 to December 31, 1947 between the termini of the one-way couplet on US20 before the establishment of the ane-way couplet and on those streets which later became a part of the oneway rouplet. The after period includes all accidents which occurred after the establishment of the une-way couplet during the period from lanuary 1, 1949 to December 31, 1951 on the foregoing sections and on newly constructed extensions. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## MEDFORD

## General

Prior to the establishment of the Court Street, Central Avenue-Riverside Avenuc One-Way Couplet, US99 was routed over Riverside Avenue in the Cily of Medford as shown in Figure 18. There was a traffic signal at the Main Strect intersection. The section of Riverside Avenue involved in this study was 2.21 miles in length. Court Street and Central Avenue, later to become a part of the ore-way couplet, served the core of the businoss district. There were traffic signals at the Sixth and Main Street intersections. The combined length of the sections of Court Street and Centra. Avenue was 2.28 miles.

The Court Strect, Central Avenuc-Riverside Avenue One-Way Couplet was established March 8, 1942. As illustrated in Figures 18 and 19 , northbound trafic was directed over Riverside Avenue and southoound traffic was routed via Court Strect and Central Avenue. The length of the northbound leg of the one-way couplet was 2.21 miles, and the length of the southbound leg was 2.28 miles.

Adequate data for the threeyear period prior to the establishment of the one-way couplet in Med. ford were not available. Therefore, the dength of the "before" and "after" periods of study was imited to one year. The year 1041 was chosen as the "before" period, and 1943 was selected as the "after" period. It will be noted that the "after" period of study started about ten months after the one-way couplet was establishect.

## Traffic Data

During the "before" period, the average da: "y traffic was 11,680 vehicles, and vehicle miles of travel amounted to $9,720,096$. Traffic volumes during the "after" period averaged 11,090 vehicles per day, and there were $9,079,229$ vehicle miles $0^{*}$ travel. In all probability, the decrease in traffic volumes was due to wartime driving restrictions and gasoline rationing.

There were no travel time data available.
 ESTABLISHMENT OF ONE WAY COUPLET

City of Medford


## Accident Data

Table XIV contains a summary of the relevant accident data for each period of study.

TABLE XIV

SUMMARY OF ACCIDENT DATA

| Court Street, Central Avenue-Riverside Avenuc Medford |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Before Pemiod 1/!/41-12/31/41 | After Period 1/1/43-12/31/43 | Percent <br> Change |
| Total Accidents ...... .. ............ ... .... | 163 | 84 | - 48.5 |
| Accjent Rate ${ }^{\text {S }}$.............. .............. | 1.8.73 | 9.25 | - 44.8 |
| Persons Injured ............................. | 22 | 7 | --68.2 |
| Persons Killed ................................. | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Accident Severity Rate\% ............... | 226.34 | 99.13 | . 36.2 |
| Accident Cost ................................ | 547,380 | \$64,290 | $+35.7$ |
| Accident Cost Rate (.).................- | 4,872 | 7,08 J. | $+45.3$ |
| (1) Accidents per million venicle miles, <br> © Injuries and fafalities per hundired millior vehicie miles. <br> (i) Cost of accidents per million vehicle mies. |  |  |  |

This taoulation reveals that during the "after" period, the accident rate was considerably lower tian the accident rate for the "before" period. This reduction was highly significant. The accidert severity rate decreased 56.2 percent. This was a significant reduction. On the surface, the higher accident costs anci accident cost rate gave the impression that accidents occurring on tio couplet were very costly. However, the "freak" occurrence of two deaths in one accident distorted these two values and pointed up the great cffect fatalities have on monetary analysis.

The accident rates for each period of study are graphically shown in Figure 20.
Table XV shows the accident data for each period of study arranged by collision type. Tinis listing reveais that the reducion in total accidents after the one-way couplet was established was largely due to the iact that angle accidents were reduced in number from 45 to 13 . Other apprcciable reductions occurred in the rear-end, turning, and parking categories.


## Summary

Under one-way operation many points of conflict were eliminated and the accident experience was considerably lower than the accident experience when two-way streets were in effect. This condition substantiates the theory that accidents decrease as points of conflict decrease.

In view of this finding, it can be concluded that the Court Street, Central Avenue-Riverside Avenue One-Way Couplet proved to be an efficient facility.

TABLE XV

## ACCIDENT LISTING

Court Street, Central Avenue-Riverside Avenue One-Way Couplet
Medford


## PENDLETON

## General

Prior to the establishment of the Court-Dorian Avenue One-Way Coupict in Pendleton, US30 was routed via Court Avenue as stown in Figure 21. The section of Court Avenue considered was 1.23 miles in length. There were no traffic signals. Dorian Avenue, a paral.el street one block south of Court Avenue which was later to become a part of the one-way couplet, was 1.04 miles in length. The difference in length was due to the fact that Dorian Avenue was terminated at S.W. 13th Street. There were no signalized intersections on Dorien Avenue either.

The Court-Dorian Avenue One-way Couplet was established on Septernber 9, 1948, which date was shortly after the construction of an extension of Dorian Avenle to an intersection with Court Averue, and the installation of traffic signals at the intersections of Court and Dorian Avenues with Main Strect. As illustrated in Figures 21 and 22 , westbound traffic was routed via Court Avenue and eastboung traffic was directed over Dorian Avenue. Each of these streets was 1.23 miles in length.

For comparative purposes, the 36 -month period from September 1, 1945 to August 31, 1948 was used for the "before" period, and the 36 -month perioci from January 1, 1949 to December 31, 1951 was chosen for the "after" period. The beginning date oi the "after" period of study was scheduled so as to omit the first four months immediately following the opening of the one-way couplet from the study because it was felt that the data for these four months would not reflect a normal situation due to the changed driving requirements.

## Traffic Data

Traffic voiumes on Court Avenue during the "before" period averaged 4,405 vehic"es per day and ranged from a high of 6,000 rear Main Street to a low of 3,000 near the western terminus of the one-way couplet. Traffic volumes on Dorian Avenue averaged 2,395 vehicles per day, and varied from a high of 3,500 near Main Strect to 1,000 near its western terminus. The average daily traffic for these two streets during the "before" period was 6,430 vehicles, and vehicle miles of travel amounted to $8,662,554$.

During the "aifter" period, traffic volumes on Court Avenue averaged 4,025 vehicles per day with a high of 6,000 near Main Street and a low of 2,500 near the western terminus of the one-way couplet. On Dorian Avenuc, traffic volumes were somewhat lower, averaging 3,530 vehicles per day, and ranging from a high of 5,000 rear Main Street to a low of 2,500 near the western terminus. During the "after" period, the average daily traffic on the couplet was 7,555 vehicles, and vehicle miles of travel amounted to $10,168,585$.

During the "before" period it required 3.75 minutes travel time to negotiate the section in one direction. After the one way couplet was established, travel time was reduced to 3.20 minutes. This amounted to a 15 percent reduction.

## HIGHWAY ROUTE BEFORE AND AFTER ESTABLISHMENT OF ONE WAY COUPLET

City of Pendleton


Figure 21


Fiqure 23

## Summary

The Court-Dorian Avenuc One-Way Couplet provided a certain amount of relief from congestion. On the other hand, the increase of traffic accidents resulted in a monctary loss to the vehicle user of slightly less than $\$ 15,000$ per year. The statistical analysis indicated that the increase in the accident rate was not large cnough to be significant, therefore, it can be concluded that from a safety standpoint the one-way couplet did not change the situation existing during the "beforc" period.

TABLE XYil
ACCIDENT LISTING
Court-Dorian Avenue One-Way Couplet
Pendiaton


## REDMOND

## General

USG9 was routed on Sixth Street as shown in Figure 24, prior to the establishment of the FiftnSixth Street Orc-Way Couplet. The section of Sixth Street involved in this study was 1.13 miles in iengti. The frontage on the three-olock scction between Evergreen and Highland Avenue was mainly occupicd py business establishments. This section of Sixth Street was 40 feet in width and there was paral'el parking on both sides of the strect. Fifth Street, later to become a part of the one-way couplet, was a iocal service stweet terminated on the north near Greenwocd Avenue and on the south by Highlanci Avenue. The over-all iength oi this street was 0.94 miles. There were no traffic signals on either street.

On June 30, 1951 the Fifth-Sixth Street One-Way Couplet was opened to traffic. As illustrated in Figures 24 and 25, northbound traffic was routed via Fifth Street and southbound traffic was routed over Sixtin Street. The establisnment of this one-way couplet required the construction of connections between F:fth and Sixth Streets at both encis of the couplet. The length of the northbound leg of the one-way couplet was 1.19 mi es, and the southbound leg was 1.23 miles in length.

For comparison, the 36 month period July 1, 1948 to Junc 30, 1951 was chosen for the "beiore" period, anc the 36 -month period July 1, 1952 to June 30,1955 was selected for the "after" period. In this case, the "after" period of study startea one year after the one-way couplet was estajlished.

## Trafific Data

Traffic, volumes on FiEth Street during the "before" period averaged 235 vericles per day and varied from a high of 400 near Evergeen Avenue, to a low of 100 near Glacier Avenue. On Sixth Street, trafiic voiumes were considerabiy higher. The average daily traffic was 3,920 vericles, anc traffic volumes ranged forrn a high of 6,000 south of Evergreen Avenuc to a low of 3,000 near the north city linnits. These two streets corsinined had an average daily traific of 4,120 vehicles during the "before" period and a venicle mileage total of $5,097,243$.

During the "after" period traflice volumes on Fifth Street averaged 3,545 vehicles per day and ranged from a high of 4,500 near Evergreen Avenue to a low of 2,500 near the north city limits. On Sixth Strect, the average dai.y traffic was 3,690 vehicles, with peak volumes comparable to those on Fifth Street. During the "alter" period, the average daily traffic on the one-way couplet was 7,235 vehicles and there were $9,167,678$ vehicle miles of travel.

There werc no travel time data available.


Fiqure 24

## Fifth-Sixth Street One-way Couplet

 Redmond

## SALEM

## General

Before the existence of the one-way couplet in the City of Salem, US99E was routed over Commercial, Court, and Capitol Strects as illustrated in Figure 27. There were nine traffic signals a.ong this routirg. All otiner streets destined to become a part of the one-way couplet were open to travel in their entircty, with the exception of Liberty Street which was closed south of Superior Street.

The one-way couplet was established on October 6; 1951. As shown in Figures 27 and 28, northbound traffic was routed via Liberty, Center, and Capitol Sireets. Southbound traffic was routed down Fairgrounds Road to Summer Street and thence on Summer, Marion, and Commercial Strcets. Court Street and a portion of Capitol Street, previously a part of the route of US99E, then assumed the status of local service strects.

During the following two years, traffic signals were insta:led at key intersections on the one-way couplet. Each Ieg of the couplet was 3.18 miles in length.

The 12 -month period from October 7, 1950 to October 6, 1951 was chosen for the "beforc" period, and the 12 -month period from October 1, 1953 to September 30, 1954 was selected for the "after" period. It will be noted that the "after" period of study started about two years aiter the one-way couplet was established.

## Traffic Data

During the "before" period, the average daily traffic on the highway ranged from 9,000 vebicles 0 on Court Street to 17,000 on Capitol Strect. On city strcets that were later to become part of the one-way" couplet, the average daily traffic varied frum 2,000 vehicles or Marion Street to 12,000 on Center Street. Considering all streets in question as one corridor of trave., the average daily traffic was 19,600 vehicles; and the vehicle miles of travel totaled 22,800,705.

The average daily traffic during the "after" pertod on the streets in question was more balanced. Capitol and Commercial Strcets each carried approximately 10,000 vehicles per day, and Court, Marion: and Summer Streets each accommodated about 8,000 vehicles per day. Vehicle miles of travel amounted to $23,766,293$ during this period, and the average daily traffic for the traffic corridor was 20,500 vehicles:

There were no travel time data available.

HIGHWAY ROUTE BEFORE AND AFTER
ESTABLISHMENT OF ONE WAY COUPIEET
City of Salem


## Accident Data

The salient accident data for each period of study are presented in Table XX.

TABLE XX

SUMMARY OF ACCIDENT DATA

Summer, Marion, Commercial-Capitol, Center, Liberty Street One-Way Couplet

(1) Accidents per millior: vehich mules.
(3) Injuries anci fatalities per hundred million vehicle miles.
(3) Cos: of accidents per mullion veticle miles.

This tabuiation shows that there was a slight reduction in the acciciext rate after the one-way couphet was established. However, this reduction was not significant. Analysis of the accident severity rate shows that the 26.7 percent reduction was significant.

The accident rates for the "before" and "after" periocs of study are graphically shown in Figure 29.
Table XXI shows the accident data for each period of study arranged by collision type. This listing discloses that after the one-way coupiet was established, rear-end collisions weme reduced in number from 248 to 161. Normally, an increase in the frequency of rear-end collisions can be expected after traffic: signals are instalied, thus this reduction was particularly noteworthy inasmuch as sigralized intersec-. tions numbered 35 during the "after" period as compared to nine during the "before" perind. In addition there was an appreciable reductior of sideswipe meeting and angle collisions. On the other band, sideswipe overtaking and turning movement accidents increased considerably in number.


## Summary

Prior to the establishment of the one-way couplet, Commercial Street and Capitol Street were carrying 13,000 and 17,000 venicles per day, respectively. The practical capacity for each street was in the neighborhood of 8,000 venicles per day. This excess traffic was causing unreasonable delay and restriction to the drivers' freedom to maneuver. The one-way couplet provided additional lanes of travel for ['S99E traffic, and as a result, traffic moves through the city with less conflicts and fewer irterraptions. In view of these accomplishments, coup.ed with the reduced severity of accidents, the one-way couplet in the City of Salem proved :itself to be a highly effective facility

TABLE XXI
ACCIDENT LISTING
Summer, Marion, Commercial-Capitol, Center, Liberfy Street One-Way C-uplet
Salem


[^0]
## SPRINGFIELD

## General

Prior to the establishment of the one-way couplet in the City of Springfield, US126 was routed over Main Street as shown in Figure 30. Main Street was 46 feet in width from the Willamette River Bridge to Tenth Street and 20 feet in width east of Tenth Street. The section of Main Strect involved in this study was 1.42 miles in length, and it passed through the Central Business District. There was one traffic signal, and parallel parking was in force.

The Main-South "A" Strect One-Way Couplet was established November 6, 1953. As illustrated in Figures 30 and 31 , westhound traffic was routed over Main Street, and eastbound traffic was routed over South "A" Street. The establishment of this one-way couplet initially required the construction of Suuth "A" Street, and later, the installation of scven additional traffic signals. South "A" Street was 1.52 miles in length and 40 feet in width. Parallel parking was allowed throughout its entirety.

For comparative purposes, the 36 -month period November I; 1950 to Oetober $31,-1953$ was chosert for the "before" period and the 36 -month period June 1, 1954 to May 31, 1957 was selected for the "after"; period. It will be noted that the "after" period of stuciy began approximately seven months after the oneway couplet was establisher. During this period, the new traffic signals were put in operation and motorists farniliarized themselves with the new routing. For these reasons, the data for this period were not expected to reflect a normal situation, and therefore this seven-month period was umitted from the study.

## Traffic Data

Traffic volumes on Main Street during the "before" period averaged 14,530 venicles per day and ranged from a high of 18,000 near the Willamette River Bridge to a low of 13,000 near 19 th Street The total vehicle miles for the "before" period amounted to $22,597,880$. As mentioned hereinbefore, Southe "A" Street was nonexistent during the "before" period, thus the foregoing data represent total "before"* data.

During the "after" period, traffic volumes on Main Street averaged 8,520 vehicles per day and ranged from 11,000 near Fifth Street to 7,000 near 19th Street, the eastern terminus of the one-way couplet. On South "A" Street traffic volumes were generally lower, averaging 8,280 vehicles per day and varying from 9,000 near the western terminus to 7,000 near the eastern terminus. The average daily traffic during the "after" period on the couplet was 16,800 vehicles, and there were $27,023,505$ vehicle miles of travel.

There were no travel time data available.

## HIGHWAY ROUTE BEFORE AND AFTER ESTABLISHMENT OF ONE WAY COUPLET

City of Springfield


BEFORE
AFTER

Figure 30

## Accident Data

The pertinent accident data for each period of study are shown in Table XXII.

TABLE XXII

## SUMMARY OF ACCIDENT DATA

## Main-South "A" Street One-Way Couplet Springfield

| Item | Before Perind <br> 11/1/50-10/31/53 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { After Period } \\ & \text { G/1/54-5/31/57 } \end{aligned}$ | 13ercent Charye |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Accidents ............................. | 602 | 431 | - 28.4 |
| Accident Rate0 .--.-..................... | 26.64 | 15.95 | - 40.1 |
| Persons Injured .----........................ | 91 | 72 | - 20.9 |
| Parsons Killed ............................... | 1 | 0 | -100 |
| Accident Severity Ratee ............... | 407.12 | 266.43 | $-34.6$ |
| Accident Cost ............................... | \$203,290 | \$: 35,180 | $-33.5$ |
| Accident Cost Rate ${ }^{\text {a }}$...................... | 8,996 | 5,002 | - 44.4 |

(1) Acridents per million vehicle miles.
(2) Injuries and fittalities per humdred million vehicle miles-
(4) Cost or accidente ger million vehicle miles.

It can be seen that in all accident categories there was a marked improvement after the one-way couplet was established. The 28.4 percent reduction ir total accidents was outstanding, particularly since traffic volumes showed a 15.5 percent increase. The accident rate revealed that when traffic volumes were considered in conjunction with total accidents, there was a 40.1 percent decrease in the accident rate. This reduction was highly significant. The reduction in the severity rate was also found to be highiy significant.

Figure 32 grapucally shows a comparison of the accident rates for three consecutive 12 -month periods immediately preceding the establishment of the one-way couplet with the accident rates for three consecutive 12 -month periods immediately following the seven-month transition period. In adcition, the composite accident rates for the "before" and "after" periods of study are shown.

Table XXIII show u the accident data for each period of study arranged by collision type. This listing discloses that after the one-way couplet was established there was an appreciable reduction of parking, rear-end, turning and pedestrian accidents. On the other hand, angle and sideswipe overtaking accidents increased considerably in mumber.

TABLE XXIII

## ACCIDENT LISTING

## Main-S. "A" Street One-Way Couplef Springfield



## the dalles

## General

Second Street was the designated route of US30 in the City of The Dalles as shown in Figure 33, prior to the exstence of the Second-Third Street One-Way Couplet. That portion of Second Street involved in this study was 0.76 miles in Eength. Those portions of Lincoln and Third Streets, later to become a part of the one-way couplet, had a combined length of 0.72 miles. There were no traffic signals on any of these strcets. During the latter part of the "before" period, work was started on The Dalles Dam which was located just north of The Dalles. This generated an increase in the city's population and a consequent increase in the number of road users.

Prior to the opering of the Second-Third Street One-Way Couplet, Third Strect was extended eastward to a junction with Second Street, and channelizing islands designed to facilitate traffic movements to and from the one-way couplet were constructed at the intersection of Second and Lincoln Streets.

The one-way couplet was established on November 12, 1.952. As shown in Figures 33 and 34, Lincoin and Third Street became the routing for eastbound traffic, and Second Street was designated as the route for westbound traffic. Shortly after the one-way couplet was opened to traffic, traffic signals were installed and put in operation at eight intersections, as illustrated in Figure 33. The eastbound leg of the orie-way couplet is 0.83 miles in length, and the westbound leg is 0.76 miles in length.

The 36 -month period from November 1, 1949 to October 31, 1952 was selected for the "before" period of study, and the 36 -month period from June 1, 1953 to May 31, 1956 was chosen as the "after." period. It will be noted that the "after" period of study started approximately six months after the one-way couplet was established.

## Traffic Data

Traffic volumes on Second Strect during the "betore" period averaged 6,005 vehicles per day and ranged from a high of 7,000 just west of Federai Street to a low of 5,000 immediately east. of Taylor: Street. On Third Street, traffic volumes were considerably lower. The average daily traffic was 2,925 vehicles and varied from a high of 4,500 near Federal Street to a low of 1,000 near Taylor Street. The composite average daily traffic for both streets was 8,780 vehicles, and the over-all vehicle miles totaled 7,306,380.

During the "after" period, traffic volumes on Second Street averaged 8,835 vehicles per day and ranged from a high of 11,000 just west of Federal Street to a low of 6,500 near Taylor Strect. On Third Street, the average daily traffic was 8,460 vchicles with volume extremes comparable to those on Second Street. During this period of study the average daily traffic on the one-way couplet was 17,295 vehicles, and there were $15,041,989$ vehicle miles of travel.

There were no travel time data available.


Fioure 33

## Accident Data

The pertinent accident data for each period of study are presented̃ in Table XXIV.

TABLE XXIV

SUMMARY OF ACCIDENT DATA

Second-Third Street One-Way Couplet
The Dalles

| Item | Before Period <br> 11/1/49-19/31/52 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A/ter Period } \\ & \text { 6/1/53-5/31/56 } \end{aligned}$ | Percent Charge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Accidents ............................. | 380 | 522 | $+37.4$ |
| Accident-Ratet -.....-.-................. | 52.01 | 34.70 | -33.3 |
| Persons Injured .................-........ | 34 | 34 | 0 |
| Persons Kiled | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Accident Severity Rate3 .............. | 479.03 | 232.68 | $-51.4$ |
| Accident Cost | \$I 16.920 | \$142,480 | $+21.3$ |
| Accident Cost Rate(9) | -6,102 | 9,472 | - 40.8 |

(1) Accidents per million vehic?e miles.
(9) Injuries and iatalities per hurisere miplinn veticile mites.
(i) Cost of accidents per mikligur veniele miles.

This table reveals that the number of accidents increased 37.4 percent. During the same period, vehicle mies of travel increased 105 percent, and as a consequence the accident rate shows a reciuction of 33.3 percent. This reduction was highly significant. 'The accirient severity rate was reduced more than 50 percent, and this too was highly significant.

The accident rates for three consecutive 12 -month periods immediately preccding the establishment of the one-way couplet ard three consecutive 12 -month periods immediately following the transition period are graphed and shown in Figure 35. This graph also shows the composite accicent rates for both periods of study. It can be seen that the accident rates for the "after" years were very stable.

Table XXV shows the accicient data for each period of study arranged by collision type. This Iisting reveals that after the one-way couplet was established there was an appreciable reduction of angle collisions. Only five angle type accidents occurred at the cight signalized intersections during the "after" period as against 26 sucn type accidents at these intersections during the "before" period. In the main, however, there were more accidents during the "aftcr" period, particu"arly in the sideswipe overtaking, rear-end, surning, parking, and backing accident categories. Except for rear-end accidents which increased 129 percent, none of these types showed a percent increase as high as the vehicle mile increase for the same perioci.


## Summary

Because of increased construction activities in connection with The Dalles Dam, traffic growth during the "after" period was unusually high and, as a result, the average daily traffic increased from 8,780 vehicles during the "before" period to 17,295 vehicles during the "after" period. The traffic volumes during the "after" period would have exceeded the capacity of the former highway routing, but the oneway couplet provided the necessary street capacity to care for thesc high traffic volumes during the "after" period. In addition, the one-way couplet afforded safer driving conditions as evidenced by the lower accident and accident severity rates.

The facility, therefore, was decidedly effective in the two basic respects for which one-way couplets are designed.

TABLE XXY

## accident listing



## TLLAMOOK

## General

US. 0 was routed tirrough the City of Tillamook as shown in Figure 36 before the Main-Pacific Avenue One-Way Couplet was established. The portion of Main Avenue involved in this study was 0.79 miles in length. Pacific Avenue, a parallel strect one block to the east which was later to become a part of the one-way couplet, extended from a junction with First Street on the north to a point just south of 12 t' Street, an over-all distance of 0.55 miles. The one-block section of First Street considered was 0.04 miles in length. There were no traffic signals on any of these streets.

Considerable construction was necessary to provice a southerly terminus for the one-way couplet. This construction involved the extension of Main Avenue south beyond the city limits so the re-ocated line of the Tiilamook-Pleasant Valley Section of US101, and the extension of Pacific Avenue south to an intersection with the Main Avenue extension. On September 29, 1950, the Main-Pacific Avenue One-Way Couplet was opened to traffic. As shown in Figures 36 and 37 , northbound traffic traveled over Pacific Avenue and First Street, while southbound traffic was directed over Main Avenue. Each leg of the oneway couplet is 0.79 miles in length. Signals at the intersections of Main and Pacific Avenues with Third: Street were not installed until over a year after the one-way couplet was established.

For comparative purposes, the 36 -month period from September 1, 1947 to August 31, 1950 was selected for the "before" period, and the 36 -month period from October 1, 1951 to September 30, 1954. was chosen for the "after" period. It will be noted that the "after" period of study started one year after the one-way couplet was established.

## Traffic Data

Traffic volumes on Main Avenue during the "before" period averaged 4,815 vehicles per day and ranged from a high of 5,500 just north of Third Street to a low of 4,000 near the south city limits.: Traffic on First Strcet and Pacific Avenue was appreciably lighter. The average daily traffic was 1,365 vehicles, ranging from a high of 2,500 un First Street to a low of 50 on Pacific Avenuc near 11 th Street. The average daily traffic for all strcets combined was 5,835 vehicles, and there were $5,047,073$ vehicle miles of travel.

During the "after" period, the average daily traffic on Main Avenue was 3,350 vehicles. Traffic volumes on Main Avenue ranged from a high ef 6,000 vehicles per day just north of Third Street to a low: of 2,000 near the sruth city limits. On Pacific Avenue, traffic volumes avcraged 3,525 vehicles per day and varied from a high of 6,000 north of Third Street to a low of 2,000 near the south city limits. During the "after" period, the average daily traffic on the one-way couplet was 6,875 vehicles, and the vehicle: miles of travel totaled $5,947,507$.

During the "before" period it required 3.56 minutes to negotiate the section in one direction. This corresponds to a running speed of 13.3 MPH . After the ore-way couplet was cstablished travel time was reduced to 2.14 minutes, and the running speed was increased to 22.1 MPF . This was a savings of 1.42 minutes, or a 40 percent reduction in travel time.

## HIGHWAY ROUTE BEFORE AND AFTER ESTABLISHMENT OF ONE WAY COUPLET

## City of Tillamook



Figure 36

## Accident Data

The pertinent accident data for both periods of study are summarized in Table XXVI.

TABLE XXVI

## SUMMARY OF ACCIDENT DATA

Main-Pacific Avenue One-Way Couplet

## Tillamook


() Accidents per million venicle miles.
(3) Injuries and fatalities per hundred million vericte mifes.
(1) Cost of accidents per million vehicle miles.

Table XXVI shows that after the one-way couplet was established, there were either increases or no change in all accident categories excepting the accident rate which decreased. Analysis revealed that this reciuction was not significant. On the surface, it appeared as though the accidents ciuring the "after". period were unusually severe. However, the increase in the accident severity rate was not significant.

A comparison of the accident rates for three consecutive 12 -month periods immediately preceding the establishment of the one-way couplet with the acciaent rates for three consecutive 12 -month periods immediately following the transition period are graphically shown in Figure 38. Also shown are the composite accident rates for each period of study. It is interesting to note that the accident rate increased at the outset of one-way operation, and then, started to decline. As a resuIt, the rate for the last year of study was lower than the rate for any of the years prior to one-way operation.

Table XXVII shows the accident data for each period of study arranged by collision type. This listing reveals that after the onc-way couplet was established considerably more rear-end, sideswipe overtaking and turning movement accidents occurred. On the other hand, sideswipe meeting and parking accidents were substantially reduced in number.


## Summary

The Main-Pacific Avenuc One-Way Couplet appreciably reduced travel time for US101 traffic through Tillamook. This was an indication that the facility was relatively less congested than the former route of US101. In general, the accident record during the "after" period did not compare favorabiy with the accident experience for the "before" perioch. However, trend data indicated that" there was a gradual improvement during the "after" period.

In view of the fact that the one-way couplet was operating more safely near the end of the "after" period than the two-way section did during the "before" period, it can be reasoned that the conflicts or unfamiliarity existing during the early part of the "after" period were corrected.

In light of these findings, it was conciuried that the establishment of the Main-Pacific Avenue OneWay Couplet improved the operations of traffic.

TABLE XXYIf
accident listing
Main-Pacific Avenue One-Way Couplet
Tillamook
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